

# 69<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting June 6-9, 2015 RBC Convention Centre, Winnipeg

"Taking Care of Your Patients and Yourself: Work / Life Balance"

# 2015 SESSION-SPECIFIC EVALUATION FORMS



# INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO RECEIVE YOUR OFFICIAL CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE:

In this booklet you will find **ALL** the session-specific evaluation forms for the meeting. Please complete the appropriate sections and return the booklet to <u>either</u> the meeting's **REGISTRATION DESK** (by the end of the meeting) or the **SOCIETY'S OFFICE BEFORE July 15**<sup>TH</sup>. Your certificate will be sent according to the instructions below.

If CONFIDENTIALITY is an issue, DETACH this sheet and send it to us SEPARATELY.

Your opinion and feedback is **ESSENTIAL**. It will be used to help plan future CPD/CME events.

Max. Credits for 69th Annual Meeting: 24.5 hrs.

DELEGATE NAME:			
☐ I wish to have my certificate of attend	dance <b>EMAILED</b> to:		
☐ I wish to have my certificate MAILED	to:		
Address:			
City:	Prov:	Postal Code:	
TOTAL CREDIT HOURS CLAIMED:			

#### Society's mailing address:

Canadian Society of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery

68 Gilkison St., ELORA, ON NOB 1SO Tel: 800-655-9533 Fax: 519-846-9529 Email: cso.hns@sympatico.ca

Saturday, June 6 @ 11:00	- 12:	00	Pan A	Am Room	СР	D Credit	Hours = 1.0
SATURDAY MORNING GENE An Introduction to Mindfulness – M. St-Hilai							
Learning Objectives: N/A							
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.							
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat	ina						
Subject content of the presentations	g		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives	as listed	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
Was the program well-paced within the allotte	ed time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan		Completely
				· ·			, ,
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	opic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:	•			
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD se	ssion w	ill chang	ge your practice:				
Saturday, June 6 @ 13:00 - PAPERS: FACIAL PLASTIC A CHAIR: Dr. Ali Esmail, Winni Learning Objectives: N/A	AND I	RECO				PD Credi	it Hours = 0.5
Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.							
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat	ing						
Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a	as listed	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	ed time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	opic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:	1			1
Identify at least one way in which this CPD se	l ssion w	ill chang	 ge your practice:				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s	ession (	OR sugg	estions for futui	re CME/CPD topics.	:		
					SUB-TO	ΓAL	CPD hrs.

Saturday, June 6 @ 13:30 – 14:15 Pan Am Room CPD Credit Hours = 0.75									
WS #1 Blepharoplasty for the Otolaryngologist-	Head and	d Neck Surg	jeon – K. Ansari,	Edmonton, AB					
Learning Objectives: N/A									
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.									
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating									
1. Subject content of the presentations	Po	oor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent			
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	No	ot at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely			
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	No	ot at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely			
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	No	ot at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely			
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes N	No Ex	kplain:				<u> </u>			
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will c	change yo	our practice:							
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR	suggestic	ons for future	e CME/CPD topics:						
Saturday, June 6 @ 14:15 – 15:00	<b>n</b>	Pan Am	n Room	CPD C	redit Ho	urs = 0.75			
		raii Aii	i Room	CFD C	euit 110	dis = 0.75			
WS #2 Introduction to Brow and Forehead Reju	venation	for the Ger	neral Otolaryngol	ogist – K. Ans	sari, Edmontor	n, AB			
Learning Objectives: At the end of the workshop, the lead region. 2. Describe the assessment of patients warious brow and forehead lifting procedures.									
Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.									
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating									
Subject content of the presentations	Po	oor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent			
Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	No	ot at all	Limited Degree	Significan	l t Degree	Completely			
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	No	ot at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely			
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	No	ot at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely			
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes N	No Ex	rplain:							
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will c	change yo	our practice:							
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR	suggestic	ons for future	CME/CPD topics:						
			-						
			Ţ	SUB-TO	 ΓAL	CPD hrs.			

SUB-TOTAL \_\_\_\_CPD hrs.

Saturday, June 6 @ 13:00 POLIQUIN RESIDENTS COM				re Theatre	CP	o Greuit	Hours = 3.0
CHAIR: Dr. John Yoo, Londo							
earning Objectives: Please see individual p	paper ab	stracts	at: http://www.	entcanada.org/wp-cor	ntent/upload	s/Paper-Abstrac	ts-Poliquin.pdf
Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.							
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat	ing						
1. Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely		
3. Was the program well-paced within the allott	ed time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significa	nt Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the t	opic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significa	nt Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:				
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD se	ssion w	ill chan	ge your practice	<b>9</b> :			
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s	session	OR sugg	estions for futi	ure CME/CPD topics:			
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s	session	OR sugg	gestions for futi	ure CME/CPD topics:			
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s	session	OR sugç	gestions for fut	ure CME/CPD topics:			

END OF PRESENTATIONS FOR <u>SATURDAY</u>, <u>JUNE 6, 2015</u>

Sunday June 7 @ 09:15	40.0	0 1	loctive	Poor A/F	CDD	Cradit H	ours = 1.75
Sunday, June 7 @ 08:15 –	10:0		neeting i	Room 4/5	CPD	Credit n	ours - 1.75
<b>OPENING PLENARY SESSIO</b>							
Guest Speaker: Maintaining Balance, Mean Celebrating Our Own: Lifetime Achievemer						ncouver, BC	
Learning Objectives: N/A.			it o reduity imp		norm out, qo		
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.							
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat	ing						
1. Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives	as listed	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	ed time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	opic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:				1
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD se	ssion w	ill chanç	ge your practice	<b>)</b> :			
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s	ession (	JB suno	estions for futu	re CMF/CPD tonics:			
The de obggestions on non-to-improve time s		Jik Jugg		ine dimeron b topico.			
Sunday, June 7 @ 10:30 –	12:0	O N	leeting	Room 4/5	CPD	Credit H	lours = 1.5
Sunday, June 7 @ 10:30 -	12:0	0 N	/leeting	Room 4/5	CPD	Credit H	lours = 1.5
PLENARY SESSION Paper: Avoiding the Stress of Litigation: Th	ne 3 C's	That V	/ill Get You In	to Trouble – J. Nuth,	CMPA		
PLENARY SESSION	ne 3 C's ons: Str	That V	Vill Get You In	to Trouble – J. Nuth, e Work / Life Balanc	CMPA e – F.G. Osle	er, J. Jones, E. I	Meen, Winnipeg, MB
PLENARY SESSION Paper: Avoiding the Stress of Litigation: The Paper: 7 Habits for Highly Effective Surgeon Learning Objectives: (Osler/Jones/Meen) By patient outcomes 2. Describe strategies to enhance the patient outcomes 2.	ne 3 C's	That V	Vill Get You In to Emphasizession, the pa	to Trouble – J. Nuth, e Work / Life Balanc rticipant will be able to	CMPA e – F.G. Osle o: 1. Summar	er, J. Jones, E. l ize the link betv	Meen, Winnipeg, MB veen physician health and
PLENARY SESSION  Paper: Avoiding the Stress of Litigation: The Paper: 7 Habits for Highly Effective Surgeon Learning Objectives: (Osler/Jones/Meen) By patient outcomes 2. Describe strategies to enhance Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.	ne 3 C's ons: Str the end ance wo	That V	Vill Get You In to Emphasizession, the pa	to Trouble – J. Nuth, e Work / Life Balanc rticipant will be able to	CMPA e – F.G. Osle o: 1. Summar	er, J. Jones, E. l ize the link betv	Meen, Winnipeg, MB veen physician health and
PLENARY SESSION Paper: Avoiding the Stress of Litigation: The Paper: 7 Habits for Highly Effective Surgeon Learning Objectives: (Osler/Jones/Meen) By patient outcomes 2. Describe strategies to enhance the patient outcomes 2.	ne 3 C's ons: Str the end ance wo	That V	Vill Get You In to Emphasizession, the pa	to Trouble – J. Nuth, e Work / Life Balanc rticipant will be able to	CMPA e – F.G. Osle o: 1. Summar	er, J. Jones, E. l ize the link betv	Meen, Winnipeg, MB veen physician health and
PLENARY SESSION  Paper: Avoiding the Stress of Litigation: The Paper: 7 Habits for Highly Effective Surgeon Learning Objectives: (Osler/Jones/Meen) By patient outcomes 2. Describe strategies to enhance Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.	ne 3 C's ons: Str the end ance wo	That V	Vill Get You In to Emphasizession, the pa	to Trouble – J. Nuth, e Work / Life Balanc rticipant will be able to	CMPA e – F.G. Osle o: 1. Summar	er, J. Jones, E. l ize the link betv	Meen, Winnipeg, MB veen physician health and
PLENARY SESSION  Paper: Avoiding the Stress of Litigation: The Paper: 7 Habits for Highly Effective Surgeon Learning Objectives: (Osler/Jones/Meen) By patient outcomes 2. Describe strategies to enh.  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate	ne 3 C's ons: Str the end ance wo	That Virategies of the s ork/life b	Vill Get You In to Emphasiz to Emphasiz tession, the paralance 3. Form	to Trouble – J. Nuth, e Work / Life Balanc rticipant will be able to nulate a personal plar	CMPA e – F.G. Osle o: 1. Summar n to better ach	er, J. Jones, E. l ize the link betw nieve a healthy Very Good	Meen, Winnipeg, MB veen physician health and work/life balance
PLENARY SESSION  Paper: Avoiding the Stress of Litigation: The Paper: 7 Habits for Highly Effective Surgeon Learning Objectives: (Osler/Jones/Meen) By patient outcomes 2. Describe strategies to enh.  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate.  1. Subject content of the presentations	ne 3 C's ons: Str the end ance wo	That V rategies of the s ork/life b	Vill Get You In to Emphasiz session, the paralance 3. Form	to Trouble – J. Nuth, e Work / Life Balanc rticipant will be able to nulate a personal plar Fair	CMPA e – F.G. Osle o: 1. Summar n to better ach	er, J. Jones, E. I ize the link betw nieve a healthy Very Good t Degree	Meen, Winnipeg, MB veen physician health and work/life balance
PLENARY SESSION  Paper: Avoiding the Stress of Litigation: The Paper: 7 Habits for Highly Effective Surgeon Learning Objectives: (Osler/Jones/Meen) By patient outcomes 2. Describe strategies to enhance Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate 1. Subject content of the presentations  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives in the presentation of the presentations.	ne 3 C's ons: Str the end ance wo ting as listed ed time?	That Wrategies of the sork/life b	Vill Get You In to Emphasiz tession, the paralance 3. Form	to Trouble – J. Nuth, e Work / Life Balanc rticipant will be able to nulate a personal plar  Fair  Limited Degree	CMPA e – F.G. Osle o: 1. Summar n to better act  Good  Significan	er, J. Jones, E. lize the link between a healthy  Very Good  t Degree  t Degree	Meen, Winnipeg, MB veen physician health and work/life balance  Excellent  Completely
PLENARY SESSION  Paper: Avoiding the Stress of Litigation: The Paper: 7 Habits for Highly Effective Surgeon Learning Objectives: (Osler/Jones/Meen) By patient outcomes 2. Describe strategies to enhance Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate 1. Subject content of the presentations  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives and 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted.	ne 3 C's ons: Str the end ance wo ting as listed ed time?	That Wrategies of the sork/life b	Vill Get You In to Emphasiz tession, the paralance 3. Form	to Trouble – J. Nuth, e Work / Life Balanc rticipant will be able to nulate a personal plar  Fair  Limited Degree  Limited Degree	CMPA e – F.G. Osle o: 1. Summar n to better ach  Good  Significan	er, J. Jones, E. lize the link between a healthy  Very Good  t Degree  t Degree	Meen, Winnipeg, MB veen physician health and work/life balance  Excellent  Completely  Completely
PLENARY SESSION  Paper: Avoiding the Stress of Litigation: The Paper: 7 Habits for Highly Effective Surgeon Learning Objectives: (Osler/Jones/Meen) By patient outcomes 2. Describe strategies to enhance Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate 1. Subject content of the presentations  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives and 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the total content of the presenter(s) knowled	ne 3 C's ns: Str the end ance wo  ing as listed ed time?  ppic(s)?	That Verategies of the sork/life b	Vill Get You In to Emphasiz tession, the paralance 3. Form	to Trouble – J. Nuth, e Work / Life Balanc rticipant will be able to nulate a personal plan  Fair  Limited Degree  Limited Degree  Limited Degree	CMPA e – F.G. Osle o: 1. Summar n to better ach  Good  Significan	er, J. Jones, E. lize the link between a healthy  Very Good  t Degree  t Degree	Meen, Winnipeg, MB veen physician health and work/life balance  Excellent  Completely  Completely
PLENARY SESSION  Paper: Avoiding the Stress of Litigation: The Paper: 7 Habits for Highly Effective Surgeon Learning Objectives: (Osler/Jones/Meen) By patient outcomes 2. Describe strategies to enhance Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate 1. Subject content of the presentations  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives and 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the total content of the presentations.	as listed ed time? Yes	That V	Vill Get You In to Emphasiz session, the paralance 3. Form Not at all Not at all Explain:	to Trouble – J. Nuth, e Work / Life Balanc rticipant will be able to nulate a personal plan  Fair  Limited Degree  Limited Degree  Limited Degree	CMPA e – F.G. Osle o: 1. Summar n to better ach  Good  Significan	er, J. Jones, E. lize the link between a healthy  Very Good  t Degree  t Degree	Meen, Winnipeg, MB veen physician health and work/life balance  Excellent  Completely  Completely

CUD TOTAL	ODD I
SUB-TOTAL	CPD hrs.

## Sunday, June 7 @ 13:30 – 14:15 Meeting Room 4/5

### **CPD Credit Hours = 0.75**

WS #3 Progressive Pediatric Sensorineural Hearing Loss for the General Otolaryngologist – R. Hod, F. Kozak, Vancouver, BC

Learning Objectives: Provide a comprehensive accurate assessment, diagnosis and managem										t
investigation of the cause of sensorineural hea										ne
appropriate referrals when necessary.										
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat	ina									
riease officer the appropriate fat	g									
Subject content of the presentations			Poor		Fair		Good	Very Good	Excellent	
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a	Not at al	I	Limite	Limited Degree   Significant Degree		Completely				
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	d time?		Not at al	l	Limite	Limited Degree   Significant Degree		nt Degree	Completely	
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	opic(s)?		Not at al	I	Limite	ed Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:							
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD se	ssion w	ill chang	je your pra	ctice:						
7.0		<b>ND</b>		• •	0145/05	ND ( '				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s	ession C	JK sugg	estions foi	tuture	CIME/CF	טי topics:				
Sunday, June 7 @ 14:15 –	15:00	D N	/leetin	g Ro	oom	4/5	CPD Cı	edit Hou	rs = 0.75	
WS #7 Don't Drop the Baton – Improving Nuth (Canadian Medical Protective Association)	-				Persp	ective - D. k	Khalil, Winni	peg, MB, M. Guլ	ota, Hamilton, ON, J.	
Learning Objectives: N/A										
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.										
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat	ing									
				Б		F :	0 1			
Subject content of the presentations				Poor		Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a	as listed	?	Not at al	l	Limite	ed Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely	
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	d time?		Not at al	I	Limite	ed Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely	
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	opic(s)?		Not at al	l	Limite	ed Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:		•		1		•	
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD se	ssion w	ill chang	je your pra	ctice:						
7.00	! 4	<b>ND</b> -			OME/CT	ND 4 and				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s	ession (	אג sugg	estions tol	ruture	CIVIE/CH	יט topics:				

SUB-TOTAL \_ CPD hrs.

### Sunday, June 7 @ 15:30 – 16:15 Meeting Room 4/5 CPD Credit Hours = 0.75

WS#10: Physical Examination of the Dizzy Patient – T. Mijovic, J. Lea, Vancouver, BC

7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

**Learning Objectives:** Upon completion of this workshop, participants will be able to: 1. Understand the rationale for performing key tests in the physical examination of a dizzy patient. 2. Develop an approach to an efficient yet complete neurotologic examination. 3. Differentiate the characteristics of the nystagmus present in different kinds of BPPV and their importance for proper diagnosis and management.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate

Theories, but as not mon to standard.											
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat	ing										
1. Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent				
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely				
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely				
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?			Limited Degree	Significant	t Degree	Completely				
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:								
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:											
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s	ession C	R sugg	estions for future (	CME/CPD topics:							

Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 – 1	7:15	Meeting R	Room 4/5	CPD	Credit F	lours = 1.0
WS #13: Physicians and Their Intimate	e Relation	ships - Thriving o	or Just Surviving? – F	P. Farnan, V	ancouver, BC	
Learning Objectives: N/A						
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.						
Please CIRCLE the appropriate ration	ng					
1. Subject content of the presentations		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as	s listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted	I time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the top	oic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes N	o Explain:	·			
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD ses	sion will c	hange your practice	:			

SUB-TOTAL	CPD hrs.
OOD TOTAL	OIDIII3.

#### Sunday, June 7 @ 13:30 – 14:15 Pan Am Room

#### **CPD Credit Hours = 0.75**

**WS #4** The Role of Regional Flap Head and Neck Reconstruction in the Era of Free Flap Reconstruction – D. O'Connell, V. Biron, Edmonton, AB, R. Hart, J. Trites, Halifax, NS, A. Joshi, Washington, DC

**Learning Objectives:** At the end of this session participants will be able to: 1. Identify head and neck defects that are amenable to local and regional flap reconstruction. 2. Compare surgical and patient related outcomes for regional flap reconstruction compared to free flap reconstruction in head and neck reconstructive surgeries. 3. Identify outcome measures that are adaptable to individual surgical practices to measure the potential utility of regional flap reconstruction for individual surgeons.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating
--------------------------------------

. Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	t Degree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:				

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

## Sunday, June 7 @ 14:15 – 15:00 Pan Am Room

**CPD Credit Hours = 0.75** 

**WS #8** Beavertail Modification of the Radical Forearm Free Flap: Indications, Technique and Functional Outcomes – E. Fung, J. Harris, K. Ansari, D. O'Connell, Edmonton, AB, J. Tibbo, St. John's, NL

**Learning Objectives**: Discuss indications for a radial forearm free flap with a beavertail modification; 2. Discuss surgical technique in flap elevation and inset: 3. Review of functional outcomes.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

1. Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	d time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain	•	•		•

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

SUB-TOTAL	CPD hrs.
SUD-IUIAL	CPD IIIS.

### Sunday, June 7 @ 15:30 – 16:15 Pan Am Room

#### **CPD Credit Hours = 0.75**

**WS #11** Using Electronic Data for Research in Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery – S. Hall, Kingston, ON, A. Eskander, Toronto, K. Macdonald, Ottawa, D. MacNeil, London, ON

**Learning Objectives:** 1. Determine the changes needed to be able to integrate a new service or expand an existing one in one's practice; 2. Outline a plan for gradually and simultaneously increasing certain clinical activities while decreasing others in an organized fashion; 3. Consider logistic changes necessary, including acquisition of knowledge and skills, training staff, scheduling, and notification to referring physicians and health professionals of the added expertise and service;

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

	lease CIRCLE the appropriate rati	ing							
1.	1. Subject content of the presentations		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely		
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely			
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely			
5.	Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:					

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

#### Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 – 17:15

**Pan Am Room** 

**CPD Credit Hours = 1.0** 

WS #14 Rhinoplasty for the General Otolaryngologist: How I Keep it Simple – M. Samaha, Montreal QC

**Learning Objectives:** 1. Describe a systematic approach to pre-operative nasal analysis, with particular attention to potential pitfalls and deformities likely to result in complications. 2. Safely perform a simple, safe, minimally invasive and minimally traumatic endonasal rhinoplasty technique. 3. Apply specific tips and pearls in one's own practice for appropriately selected patients and procedures.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

1. Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?			Limited Degree	Significant	t Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	t Degree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No		Explain:					

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

SUB-TOTAL	CPD	hrs
JUD-I U I AL		1113.

## Sunday, June 7 @ 13:30 – 14:15 Meeting Room 3

#### **CPD Credit Hours = .75**

**WS#5** Implementing a Safe Outpatient Thyroidectomy Program in Your Practice – L. McLean, Ottawa, ON, J. Harris, Edmonton, AB, M. Hearn, Ottawa, ON

**Learning Objectives:** Through participation in this workshop, the participant will: 1. Be aware of the literature that provides the foundation for safe outpatient thyroid surgery. 2. Explore patient selection criteria for safe outpatient thyroid surgery. 3. Review examples of safe outpatient thyroid surgery protocols that may be utilized in her/his own practice setting.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

P	lease CIRCLE the appropriate rati	ing							
1.	Subject content of the presentations		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
	Did the session meet the learning objectives a			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely	
3.	Was the program well-paced within the allotte	d time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely	
4.	Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	ppic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely	
5.	Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:					
c	Identify at least one way in which this CDD as	ooion wi	II ahana	a vaur practical					

- Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

#### Sunday, June 7 @ 14:15 - 15:00

**Meeting Room 3** 

**CPD Credit Hours = 0.75** 

**WS#9** Laryngeal Trauma – A. Darnbrough, Winnipeg, MB, K. Kost, Montreal, QC, J. Anderson, Toronto, ON, M. Allegretto, Edmonton, AB Learning Objectives: 1. Understand the mechanism of injury of laryngeal trauma; 2. Understand relevant laryngeal anatomy and physiology; 3. Be able to classify blunt and penetrating laryngeal injuries; 4. Appreciate, diagnose and appropriately manage associated injuries; 5. Outline acute and long term management goals - airway, voice and swallowing concerns.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	d time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:		•		•

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- $7.\ Give\ us\ suggestions\ on\ how\ to\ improve\ this\ session\ OR\ suggestions\ for\ future\ CME/CPD\ topics:$

SUB-TOTAL	CPD I	nrs.
-----------	-------	------

Sunday, June 7 @ 15:30 – 17:15			Meetin	g Room 3	CPD Credit Hours = 1.75		
PAPERS: Head and Neck Su CHAIR: Dr. Paul Kerr, Winni	-	y 1					
Learning Objectives: See individual paper at ☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.	stract a	t <u>http://v</u>	vww.entcanada.c	org/wp-content/uploa	ids/Paper-Ab	ostract-Head-ar	nd-Neck-Surgery-1.pdf
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating							
Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives	as listed	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	ed time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	ppic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:				
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD se	ssion w	ill chang	e your practice:				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s	ession (	OR sugg	estions for future	CME/CPD topics:			
Sunday, June 7 @ 13:30 – 15:00 Lecture Theatre CPD Credit Hours = 1.5							
			Lecture	ineatre	CP	D Credit	Hours = 1.5
WS #6 Therapeutic Option for Eustach M. Bance, Halifax, NS, S. Nayan, Montreal, QC	nian Tul	oe Dysf	unction: Is The				
I	nian Tul	oe Dysf	unction: Is The				
M. Bance, Halifax, NS, S. Nayan, Montreal, QO	nian Tul	oe Dysf	unction: Is The				
M. Bance, Halifax, NS, S. Nayan, Montreal, QC Learning Objectives: N/A	<b>nian Tul</b> C, B. Wil	oe Dysf	unction: Is The				
M. Bance, Halifax, NS, S. Nayan, Montreal, QC Learning Objectives: N/A  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.	<b>nian Tul</b> C, B. Wil	oe Dysf	unction: Is The				
M. Bance, Halifax, NS, S. Nayan, Montreal, QC Learning Objectives: N/A  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate	nian Tul C, B. Wil	oe Dysf liams, F	unction: Is The lalifax, NS	re A Balloon in You	ur Future? -	- M. Desrosiers  Very Good	, F. Lavigne, Montreal, QC,
M. Bance, Halifax, NS, S. Nayan, Montreal, QC Learning Objectives: N/A  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate  1. Subject content of the presentations	nian Tul C, B. Wil Trg	oe Dysf liams, F	unction: Is The Ialifax, NS	re A Balloon in You	ur Future? -	- M. Desrosiers  Very Good t Degree	, F. Lavigne, Montreal, QC,  Excellent
M. Bance, Halifax, NS, S. Nayan, Montreal, QC Learning Objectives: N/A  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate  1. Subject content of the presentations  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the learning objectives.	ing as listed	oe Dysf liams, F	unction: Is The Halifax, NS  Poor  Not at all	Fair Limited Degree	Good Significan	- M. Desrosiers  Very Good  t Degree  t Degree	Excellent  Completely
M. Bance, Halifax, NS, S. Nayan, Montreal, QC Learning Objectives: N/A  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate  1. Subject content of the presentations  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the learning objectives are session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the	ing as listed	oe Dysf liams, F	unction: Is The Halifax, NS  Poor  Not at all  Not at all	Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree	Good Significan	- M. Desrosiers  Very Good  t Degree  t Degree	Excellent Completely Completely
M. Bance, Halifax, NS, S. Nayan, Montreal, QC Learning Objectives: N/A  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate  1. Subject content of the presentations  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the learning objectives are session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the learning objectives are session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the learning objectives are session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the learning objectives are session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the learning objectives are session meet th	ing as listed ppic(s)?	e Dysf liams, F	unction: Is The lalifax, NS  Poor  Not at all  Not at all  Explain:	Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree	Good Significan	- M. Desrosiers  Very Good  t Degree  t Degree	Excellent Completely Completely
M. Bance, Halifax, NS, S. Nayan, Montreal, QC Learning Objectives: N/A  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate  1. Subject content of the presentations  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the learning objectives are session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the learning objectives are session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the learning objectives are session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the learning objectives are session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the learning objectives are session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the learning objectives are session meet the le	as listed dime?  Yes  ssion w	Poe Dysfiliams, F	Poor Not at all Not at all Explain:	Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree Limited Degree	Good Significan	- M. Desrosiers  Very Good  t Degree  t Degree	Excellent Completely Completely

SUB-TOTAL \_\_\_\_

\_\_CPD hrs.

Sunday, June 7 @ 15:30 – 1	16:1	5	Lectur	e Theatre	CPD C	redit Ho	ours = 0.75
WS #12 Office Based Surgery for Slee	ep Diso	rdered	Breathing - J.	Chau, Calgary, AB			
Learning Objectives: 1. Review the epidemic methods 3. Present and review the various local							ew office based turbinoplasty
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.		·			•		
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating							
Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a	as listed	l?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	d time?	1	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	ppic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:				-
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD se	ssion w	ill chan	ge your practice				
		OD ellar	estions for futur	re CME/CPD topics:			
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s	ession (	on suge	•				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s	ession (	on sugg	•				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s  Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 - 2				Theatre	СР	D Credit	Hours = 1.0
Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 – 2 WS #15 10 Tips to Minimize Pitfalls, M	<b>17:1</b>	5	Lecture				
Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 – 2  WS #15 10 Tips to Minimize Pitfalls, M D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON, J. Lee, Toronto, ON Learning Objectives: At the conclusion of the	17:1: Maximiz N e sessio	ee Safet	Lecture  by and Improve  icipants will be	Outcomes for Your exposed to strategies	Sinus Surg	ery Patients –	A. Janjua, Vancouver, BC, ate the efficient set up of the
Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 – 7  WS #15 10 Tips to Minimize Pitfalls, M. D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON, J. Lee, Toronto, ON  Learning Objectives: At the conclusion of the surgical suite for Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (Elvisualization of target areas during ESS. 4. In	Maximiz N e sessic ESS). 2. nprove	ze Safeton, part	Lecture  by and Improve  icipants will be e endoscopic si	Outcomes for Your exposed to strategies nus surgical cases w	Sinus Surg	ery Patients – ctively coordina d safety and im	A. Janjua, Vancouver, BC, ate the efficient set up of the aproved workflow. 3. Improve
Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 – 2  WS #15 10 Tips to Minimize Pitfalls, M D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON, J. Lee, Toronto, ON Learning Objectives: At the conclusion of th surgical suite for Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (E	Maximiz N e sessic ESS). 2. nprove	ze Safeton, part	Lecture  by and Improve  icipants will be e endoscopic si	Outcomes for Your exposed to strategies nus surgical cases w	Sinus Surg	ery Patients – ctively coordina d safety and im	A. Janjua, Vancouver, BC, ate the efficient set up of the aproved workflow. 3. Improve
Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 – 2  WS #15 10 Tips to Minimize Pitfalls, M. D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON, J. Lee, Toronto, ON  Learning Objectives: At the conclusion of the surgical suite for Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (E visualization of target areas during ESS. 4. In strategies aimed at improving long-term outcome	Maximiz N e sessic SS). 2. mprove mes.	ze Safeton, part	Lecture  by and Improve  icipants will be e endoscopic si	Outcomes for Your exposed to strategies nus surgical cases w	Sinus Surg	ery Patients – ctively coordina d safety and im	A. Janjua, Vancouver, BC, ate the efficient set up of the aproved workflow. 3. Improve
Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 —  WS #15 10 Tips to Minimize Pitfalls, M. D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON, J. Lee, Toronto, ON  Learning Objectives: At the conclusion of the surgical suite for Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (E visualization of target areas during ESS. 4. In strategies aimed at improving long-term outcom  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate	Maximiz N e sessic SS). 2. mprove mes.	ze Safeton, part	ry and Improve	Outcomes for Your exposed to strategies nus surgical cases w Idle meatus in the po	Sinus Surg s to: 1. Effect ith increased ost-operative	ery Patients – ctively coordina d safety and im phase. 5. Und	A. Janjua, Vancouver, BC, ate the efficient set up of the proved workflow. 3. Improve derstand post-operative care
Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 — WS #15 10 Tips to Minimize Pitfalls, M. D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON, J. Lee, Toronto, ON Learning Objectives: At the conclusion of th surgical suite for Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (Evisualization of target areas during ESS. 4. In strategies aimed at improving long-term outcom Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate 1. Subject content of the presentations	Maximiz N e sessic ESS). 2. mprove nes.	ze Safeton, part Execut the pat	Lecture by and Improve dicipants will be see endoscopic signates of the mice.	Outcomes for Your exposed to strategies nus surgical cases w Idle meatus in the po	Sinus Surg	ery Patients – ctively coordina d safety and im phase. 5. Und	A. Janjua, Vancouver, BC, ate the efficient set up of the proved workflow. 3. Improve derstand post-operative care
Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 —  WS #15 10 Tips to Minimize Pitfalls, M. D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON, J. Lee, Toronto, ON  Learning Objectives: At the conclusion of the surgical suite for Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (Evisualization of target areas during ESS. 4. In strategies aimed at improving long-term outcon  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate  1. Subject content of the presentations  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the session meet the session m	Maximiz N e sessic ESS). 2. mprove nes.	ee Safeton, part Execut the pat	Lecture  y and Improve  icipants will be e endoscopic si ency of the mic	Outcomes for Your  exposed to strategies inus surgical cases w Idle meatus in the po	Sinus Surg s to: 1. Effectith increased ost-operative Good Significan	ery Patients – ctively coordina d safety and im phase. 5. Und Very Good t Degree	A. Janjua, Vancouver, BC, ate the efficient set up of the proved workflow. 3. Improve derstand post-operative care  Excellent  Completely
Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 —  WS #15 10 Tips to Minimize Pitfalls, M. D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON, J. Lee, Toronto, ON Learning Objectives: At the conclusion of the surgical suite for Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (Evisualization of target areas during ESS. 4. In strategies aimed at improving long-term outcom.  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate.  1. Subject content of the presentations.  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the session meet the learning objectives and the session meet the session mee	Maximiz N e sessic SS). 2. mprove nes. ing as listed	ee Safeton, part Execut the pat	Lecture  y and Improve  icipants will be e endoscopic si ency of the mic	Outcomes for Your  exposed to strategies nus surgical cases w Idle meatus in the po  Fair  Limited Degree  Limited Degree	Sinus Surg s to: 1. Effectith increased ost-operative Good Significan Significan	ery Patients – ctively coordina d safety and im phase. 5. Und Very Good t Degree t Degree	A. Janjua, Vancouver, BC,  ate the efficient set up of the proved workflow. 3. Improve derstand post-operative care  Excellent  Completely  Completely
Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 —  WS #15 10 Tips to Minimize Pitfalls, M. D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON, J. Lee, Toronto, ON  Learning Objectives: At the conclusion of the surgical suite for Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (E. visualization of target areas during ESS. 4. In strategies aimed at improving long-term outcom  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate  1. Subject content of the presentations  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives at  3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted.  4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the top	Maximiz Ne sessicess). 2. nprove nes. ing as listed ed time?	ee Safeton, particle Execution the pate of	Poor Not at all Not at all	Outcomes for Your  exposed to strategies inus surgical cases w Idle meatus in the po	Sinus Surg s to: 1. Effectith increased ost-operative Good Significan	ery Patients – ctively coordina d safety and im phase. 5. Und Very Good t Degree t Degree	A. Janjua, Vancouver, BC, ate the efficient set up of the proved workflow. 3. Improve derstand post-operative care  Excellent  Completely
Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 —  WS #15 10 Tips to Minimize Pitfalls, M. D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON, J. Lee, Toronto, ON Learning Objectives: At the conclusion of th surgical suite for Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (E visualization of target areas during ESS. 4. In strategies aimed at improving long-term outcor  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat  1. Subject content of the presentations  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives at  3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted  4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to  5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Maximiz N e sessic ESS). 2. mprove nes. ing as listed ed time? ppic(s)?	ee Safeton, part Execut the pat	Lecture  y and Improve  icipants will be e endoscopic si ency of the mic  Poor  Not at all  Not at all  Explain:	Outcomes for Your exposed to strategies nus surgical cases w Idle meatus in the po  Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree Limited Degree	Sinus Surg s to: 1. Effectith increased ost-operative Good Significan Significan	ery Patients – ctively coordina d safety and im phase. 5. Und Very Good t Degree t Degree	A. Janjua, Vancouver, BC,  ate the efficient set up of the proved workflow. 3. Improve derstand post-operative care  Excellent  Completely  Completely
Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 —  WS #15 10 Tips to Minimize Pitfalls, M. D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON, J. Lee, Toronto, ON  Learning Objectives: At the conclusion of the surgical suite for Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (E. visualization of target areas during ESS. 4. In strategies aimed at improving long-term outcom  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate  1. Subject content of the presentations  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives at  3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted.  4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the top	Maximiz N e sessic ESS). 2. mprove nes. ing as listed ed time? ppic(s)?	ee Safeton, part Execut the pat	Lecture  y and Improve  icipants will be e endoscopic si ency of the mic  Poor  Not at all  Not at all  Explain:	Outcomes for Your exposed to strategies nus surgical cases w Idle meatus in the po  Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree Limited Degree	Sinus Surg s to: 1. Effectith increased ost-operative Good Significan Significan	ery Patients – ctively coordina d safety and im phase. 5. Und Very Good t Degree t Degree	A. Janjua, Vancouver, BC,  ate the efficient set up of the proved workflow. 3. Improve derstand post-operative care  Excellent  Completely  Completely
Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 —  WS #15 10 Tips to Minimize Pitfalls, M. D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON, J. Lee, Toronto, ON Learning Objectives: At the conclusion of th surgical suite for Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (E visualization of target areas during ESS. 4. In strategies aimed at improving long-term outcor  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat  1. Subject content of the presentations  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives at  3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted  4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to  5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Maximiz Ne session SSS). 2. Inprove nes. Inc as listed ad time? Opic(s)? Yes ssion w	te Safet on, part Execut the pat	Poor Not at all Not at all Explain: ge your practice:	Outcomes for Your exposed to strategies inus surgical cases w Idle meatus in the po  Fair  Limited Degree  Limited Degree  Limited Degree	Sinus Surg s to: 1. Effectith increased ost-operative Good Significan Significan	ery Patients – ctively coordina d safety and im phase. 5. Und Very Good t Degree t Degree	A. Janjua, Vancouver, BC,  ate the efficient set up of the proved workflow. 3. Improve derstand post-operative care  Excellent  Completely  Completely
Sunday, June 7 @ 16:15 —  WS #15 10 Tips to Minimize Pitfalls, M. D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON, J. Lee, Toronto, ON Learning Objectives: At the conclusion of the surgical suite for Endoscopic Sinus Surgery (Evisualization of target areas during ESS. 4. In strategies aimed at improving long-term outcon.  Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate.  1. Subject content of the presentations.  2. Did the session meet the learning objectives at the session meet the session meet the learning objectives at the session meet the session me	Maximiz Ne session SSS). 2. Inprove nes. Inc as listed ad time? Opic(s)? Yes ssion w	te Safet on, part Execut the pat	Poor Not at all Not at all Explain: ge your practice:	Outcomes for Your exposed to strategies inus surgical cases w Idle meatus in the po  Fair  Limited Degree  Limited Degree  Limited Degree	Sinus Surg s to: 1. Effectith increased ost-operative Good Significan Significan	ery Patients – ctively coordina d safety and im phase. 5. Und Very Good t Degree t Degree	A. Janjua, Vancouver, BC,  ate the efficient set up of the proved workflow. 3. Improve derstand post-operative care  Excellent  Completely  Completely

End of Sunday, June 7 Sessions

SUB-TOTAL \_

CPD hrs.

Monday, June 8 @ 08:00 – 08:55 Meeting Room 4/5 CPD Credit Hours							urs = 1.0
<b>WS#16</b> Functional Rhinoplasty – J	. Sykes	, Sacrai	mento, CA				
Learning Objectives: N/A							
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.							
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat	ing						
Subject content of the presentations		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	d time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	pic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:	1	4		,
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD se	ssion w	ill chanç	ge your practice:				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s  Monday, June 8 @ 09:00 –  PLENARY SESSION  Paper: My Personal Evolution of Caring for Sacramento, CA  Paper: Some History of Laryngology – M. M. Learning Objectives: N/A	10:1	<b>5</b>	Meeting F	Room 4/5			u <b>rs = 1.25</b> reer – J. Sykes,
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.							
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat	ing						
1. Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a	as listed	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	d time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	pic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:	1	4		,
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD se	ssion w	ill chanç	ge your practice:				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s	ession (	OR sugg	estions for future	CME/CPD topics:			

SUB-TOTAL \_\_\_\_CPD hrs.

#### Monday, June 8 @ 10:45 – 12:00 Meeting Room 4/5 CPD Credit Hours = 1.25

**WS #20** Facial Reconstruction: A Specialist Panel on the Contemporary Reconstruction of the Face – M. Brandt, Toronto, ON, J. Sykes, Sacramento, CA, S. M. Taylor, Halifax, NS, K. Ansari, Edmonton, AB, J. Trites, Halifax

**Learning Objectives:** 1. Participants will appreciate the functional and aesthetic considerations required to achieve a successful facial reconstruction. 2. Participants will appreciate the array of techniques possible in repairing cutaneous and soft-tissue defects of the face. 3. Participants will be prepared to evaluate the benefits, limitations, and complications of different facial reconstructive options. 4. At the completion of this workshop, participants will be better equipped to reconstruct cutaneous and soft-tissue defects presenting to their Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery practice.

	Attended	, but do	not wish	to evaluate.
--	----------	----------	----------	--------------

P	lease CIRCLE the appropriate rating								
Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
2.	2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely	
3.	3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely	
4.	4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely	
5.	Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:					
•	Identify at least one way in which this CDD as	!	:II abaaa	a warm practice.					

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

## Monday, June 8 @ 13:30 – 15:30 Meeting Room 4/5 CPD Credit Hours = 1.75

#### **PAPER: EDUCATION**

CHAIR: Dr. F. Gigi Osler, Winnipeg

Learning Objectives: See individual papers' learning objectives at: http://www.entcanada.org/wp-content/uploads/Paper-Abstracts-Education.pdf

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:	•			

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	CPD	hrs.
------------------	-----	------

#### Monday, June 8 @ 16:00 - 17:15 Meeting Room 4/5 CPD Credit Hours = 1.25

monacy, cano e e roise			ootg						
<b>WS#26</b> The Best Articles of the Last Year in Otolaryngology for the General Otolaryngologist – JP. Vaccani, A. Lamothe, S. Johnson-Obaseki, D. Schramm, S. Kilty, Ottawa, ON									
<b>Learning Objectives:</b> By the end of the sessibeen published during the last year in the subspace.				•		nd important pu	ublications which have	9	
Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.	pecialty	domaii	is of officology, fil	mology, otology and	pediati ics.				
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rati	ing								
1. Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely		
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	d time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely		
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	pic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree Significant Degree Cor		Completely			
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:						
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:									
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this se	ession O	R sugg	estions for future	CME/CPD topics:					

## Monday, June 8 @ 8:00 - 8:55

#### **Pan Am Room**

#### **CPD Credit Hours = .1.0**

**WS #17** Temporal Bone Radiology by the Otologist for the Otologists – J. Kuthubutheen, Crawley, W. Australia, E. Hwang, O. Hilly, V. Lin, Toronto, ON

**Learning Objectives:** At the end of this workshop, participants should be able to: 1. Recognize the radiological features of common temporal bone pathologies 2. Recognize the pitfalls associated with temporal bone radiology interpretation 3. Correlate the radiological findings with the clinical presentation to direct further management

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating									
1. Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good Very Good	Excellent					
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely					
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely					
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely					
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:								
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will chang	e your practice:								

7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

SUB-TOTAL	CPD hrs.
-----------	----------

Monday, June 8 @ 10:45-12	:00		Pan Am R	Room (	CPD Cr	edit Hou	rs = 1.25			
<b>WS#21</b> Wait, WaitI Don't Have to Wait? Clinical-based Laryngeal Procedures - T. Brown, Halifax, NS, J. Young, Montreal, QC, A. Darnbrough, Winnipeg, MB, K. Fung, London, ON										
Learning Objectives: 1 By the end of this works procedures available. 2 By the end of this worksh and will be able to identify the most appropriate p participants will be made aware of the typical tech workshop, participants will have knowledge of the workshop, participants will firmly believe that clini	nop, pa patient hnical e mos	articipar cases steps in t comm	nts will gain an u amenable to the nvolved in perfor on challenges ar	inderstanding of the a se techniques. 3 Thro ming common clinic- nd potential complica	advantages ough the pro based laryn	of clinic-based lesentation of clingeal procedures	laryngeal procedures nical cases, s. 4 By the end of this			
Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.										
Please CIRCLE the appropriate ratin  1. Subject content of the presentations	ıg		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent			
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as	listed	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely			
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted	time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely			
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topi	ic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely			
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:		ı		.1			
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD sess	ion w	ill chanç	ge your practice:							
Monday, June 8 @ 13:30–15  PAPER: OTOLOGY 1  CHAIR: Dr. Jordan Hochman,										
Learning Objectives: Please see individual paper	er abs	stracts a	at: http://www.ento	canada.org/wp-content/	uploads/Pap	er-Abstracts-otolo	gy.pdf			
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.										
Please CIRCLE the appropriate ratin	g									
1. Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent			
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as	listed	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely			
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted	time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely			
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree Completely		Completely			
·	Yes	No	Explain:		•					
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD sess	sion wi	ill chang	ge your practice:							
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this ses	sion (	OR sugg	estions for futur	e CME/CPD topics:						

SUB-TOTAL \_\_

CPD hrs.

Monday, June 8 @ 16:00–17:15	Pan Am R	oom C	PD Credit	Hours =	1.25						
PAPER: OTOLOGY 2 CHAIR: Dr. Brian Blakley, Winnipeg											
Learning Objectives: Please see individual paper abstracts	at: http://www.en	tcanada.org/w	o-content/uploads	/Paper-Abstrac	s-Otology-2.pdf						
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.											
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating											
1. Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent						
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Deg	ree Significant	Degree	Completely						
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Deg	ree Significant	Degree	Completely						
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Deg	ree Significant	Degree	Completely						
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:	1	I								
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will chan	ge your practice:										
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR sug	gestions for future	CME/CPD topio	cs:								
Monday, June 8 @ 08:00-08:55	Meeting Ro	oom 3	CPD Credi	it Hours	= 1.0						
WS#18 Strategies and Attitudes for Professional Su	ccess – E. Akbari	, P. Adamson,	Toronto, ON								
<b>Learning Objectives</b> : 1. To develop attitudes that encourage partition facilitate a successful surgical practice.	professional and po	ersonal growth	. 2. To utilize pra	ctical planning	and practice tools to						
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.											
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating											
1. Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent						
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Deg	ree Significant	Degree	Completely						
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Deg	ree Significant	Degree	Completely						
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Deg	ree Significant	Degree	Completely						
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:										
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will chan	ge your practice:										
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR sug	gestions for future	CME/CPD topic	cs:								
			SUB-TOTA	\L	CPD hrs.						

### Monday, June 8 @ 10:45 – 12:00 Meeting Room 3 CPD Credit Hours = 1.25

**WS #22** Endoscopic Ear Surgery: A Taster for Those With An Interest – J. Lea, Vancouver, BC, D. J. Nogueira, Benfica, Fortaleza, Brazil, D. Marchioni, Verona, Italy, D. Pothier, Toronto, ON

Learning Objectives: 1 By the end of this workshop, participants will be aware of the growing number and variety of clinic-based laryngeal procedures available. 2 By the end of this workshop, participants will gain an understanding of the advantages of clinic-based laryngeal procedures and will be able to identify the most appropriate patient cases amenable to these techniques. 3 Through the presentation of clinical cases, participants will be made aware of the typical technical steps involved in performing common clinic-based laryngeal procedures. 4 By the end of this workshop, participants will have knowledge of the most common challenges and potential complications of these techniques. 5 By the end of this workshop, participants will firmly believe that clinic-based laryngeal procedures are really cool.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating					
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:				

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics

### Monday, June 8 @ 13:30 – 15:00 Meeting Room 3 CPD Credit Hours = 1.5

**PAPERS: ENDOCRINE SURGERY** 

CHAIR: Dr. Donna Sutherland, Winnipeg, MB

**Learning Objectives**: Please see individual paper abstracts at: <a href="http://www.entcanada.org/wp-content/uploads/Paper-Abstracts-Endocrine-Surgery.pdf">http://www.entcanada.org/wp-content/uploads/Paper-Abstracts-Endocrine-Surgery.pdf</a>

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating										
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent					
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely					
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely					
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	II Limited Degree Significant Degree		Completely						
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:									
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will cha	6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:									

7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

SUB-TOTAL	CPD	hrs
SOD-IOIAL		III 3.

Monday, June 8 @ 15:30 – 16:30	Meeting R	oom 3 CP	D Credi	it Hours	= 1.0
PAPERS: HEAD AND NECK SURGERY	2				
CHAIR: Dr. Norbert Viallet, Winnipeg	, MB				
Learning Objectives: See individual paper abstracts at:					

#### Monday, June 8 @ 08:00 - 08:55 Lecture Theatre CPD Credit Hours = 1.0

**WS#19** Update on Current Management of Bilateral Vocal Fold Immobility – K. Fung, London, ON, D. Bosch, Calgary, AB, J. Anderson, Toronto, ON, K. Kost, Montreal, QC

**Learning Objectives:** 1. To review the diagnostic workup of an adult patient with upper airway obstruction due to bilateral vocal fold immobility 2. To be able to differentiate between mechanical fixation and neurogenic paralysis 3. To know the common causes of bilateral vocal fold immobility 4. To understand practical management strategies in the acute airway setting 5. To understand the role of various types of contemporary endoscopic lateralization procedures, their indications, contraindications, technical pearls, and potential complications 6. To understand the role of external surgical procedures 7. To appreciate voice and swallowing results following successful lateralization and decannulation

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating							
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely		
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely		
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely		
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:						
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:							
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR sug	gestions for future	CME/CPD topics:					

Ottawa, ON  Tablets and Other Mobile Technology  Ottawa, ON			,ge.eg.epe				<b></b> ,
Learning Objectives: N/A							
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.							
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating							
1. Subject content of the presentations		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely	
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely		
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes	No	Explain:				1	
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session	will chan	ge your practice	:				

SUB-TOTAL	CPD	hrs.
OOD-IOIAL		1113

# Monday, June 8 @ 13:30 – 14:30 Lecture Theatre CPD Credit Hours = 1.00

WS#24 End of Life Care: Getting It Right – K. Kost, Montreal, QC, D. Eibling, Pittsburg, PA, A. Zeitouni, Montreal, QC

**Learning Objectives**: At the end of this workshop, participants will: 1. Appreciate how end-of-life decisions are frequently guided by assumptions 2. Recognize the importance of establishing and prioritizing patient goals 3. Understand the need to effectively communicate with patients and their

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely		
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely		
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely		
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:						
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:							
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR so	uggestions for fu	ture CME/CPD topics:					

#### Monday, June 8 @ 14:30 - 15:00 Lecture Theatre CPD Credit Hours = 0.5

#### **PAPER: LARYNGOLOGY**

CHAIR: Dr. Andrea Darnbrough, Winnipeg, MB

Learning Objectives: Please see individual abstracts at: http://www.entcanada.org/wp-content/uploads/Paper-Abstracts-Laryngology1.pdf

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.					
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating					
1. Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:				
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will chang	e your practice:				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR sugg	estions for future (	CME/CPD topics:			

SUB-TOTAL _	CPD hrs.
-------------	----------

Monday, June 8 @ 15:30 – 1	6:00	Lecture	Theatre	CPD Cr	edit Hou	rs = 0.5
WS#25 Changing Transfusion Practice	<b>s –</b> B. Muirhe	ead, (Dept. of Ar	nesthesia), P. Kerr, \	Winnipeg, Mi	B, <b>R. Hart</b> , Hali	fax, NS
Learning Objectives: 1. Review the current blocoutcome 3. Be cognizant of the factors that may in Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.	•			eir use. 2. U	Inderstand the i	influence of anemia on
Please CIRCLE the appropriate ratin	g					
1. Subject content of the presentations		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as	listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted	time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topi	c(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	ed Degree Significant Degree		Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	res No	Explain:				I
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD sess	ion will chang	ge your practice:				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this ses	sion OR sugg	estions for futur	re CME/CPD topics:			
Monday, June 8 @ 16:00 – 1	7:15	Lecture	Theatre	CPD Cr	edit Hou	rs = 1.5
WS#27 Management of Allergic Rhinitis	s: Incorpora	ating New Treat	tment Options to Re	spond to U	nmet Patient N	leeds – M.
Desrosiers, Montreal, QC, S. Kilty, Ottawa, ON,	-	-	•	•		
<b>Learning Objectives:</b> 1. Understand issues be diagnostic methods and therapeutic options avai patients with allergic rhinitis. 3. Know how to prepatient compliance with allergy therapy.	lable for the	management of	allergic rhinitis and h	now to integi	rate them into o	clinical management of
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.						
Please CIRCLE the appropriate ratin	g					
1. Subject content of the presentations		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as	listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted	time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely

5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:					
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:								
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:								

Not at all

4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?

End of Monday June 3 Sessions	SUB-TOTAL	CPD hrs
-------------------------------	-----------	---------

Limited Degree

Significant Degree

Completely

Tuesday, June 9 @ 08:00 – 08:55 Meeting Room 4/5 CPD Credit Hours = 1.0						
WS #29 Beyond the Microscope: Robotic Control	lled High Definiti	on Optics for Surger	y – A. Kass	am, Milwaukee	e, WI	
Learning Objectives: N/A						
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.						
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating						
1. Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	Degree	Completely	
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely	
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:					
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will cha	nge your practice:					
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR su	ggestions for futur	e CME/CPD topics:				
Tuesday, June 9 @ 09:00-10:05 / 1 CPD Credit Hours = 2.5	0:30-12:0	0 Me	eting R	Room 4/5		
PLENARY SESSION:  Paper: Surgical Innovation: Six Pillars Approach in Neu Paper: Celebrating Our Own: Can A Nationalist Quebec Paper: Training Is Changing: The Era of the Competent Paper: Why You Should Become A Community ENT – J	er Have Fun In A cy-based Surgeo	Monarchy-associat n – J. Frank, RCPSC	ed Endeavo	our – D. Dorion,		
Learning Objectives: N/A						
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.						
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating						
1. Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely	
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely	
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:		1			
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will cha	nge your practice:					
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR su	ggestions for futur	e CME/CPD topics:				

SUB-TOTAL \_

\_CPD hrs.

#### Tuesday, June 9 @ 12:00 – 12:30 Meeting Room 4/5 CPD Credit Hours = 0.5

WS #33 Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery Unemployment in Canada – M. Brandt, Toronto, ON, G. Scott, Toronto, ON, P. Doyle, London, ON, R. Ballagh, Barrie, ON

Learning Objectives: 1. Participants will gain a better understanding for the factors leading to the current employment landscape. 2. Participants will appreciate the demographics and concerns of the most recent OTOHNS graduates 3. At the completion of the mini-workshop, participants will appreciate strategies and suggestions for improving the current employment situation.

П	<b>Attended</b>	but do	not wish	to evaluate.

5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations

#### Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations Poor Very Good Fair Good Excellent 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely

6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:

7. Give  $\overline{\text{us}}$  suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

Yes

No

#### Tuesday, June 9 @ 12:30 – 13:00 Meeting Room 4/5 **CPD Credit Hours = 0.5**

Explain:

WS #37 Evidence-base Management of Nasal Trauma – M. Brandt, Toronto, ON, S.M. Taylor, Halifax, NS

Learning Objectives: 1. Attendees will be refreshed on nasal anatomy as it relates to nasal trauma. Participants will understand a systematic approach to the management of the traumatized nose. 2. Attendees will gain an appreciation for rhinoplasty techniques critical to the long-term management of the traumatized nose.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

#### Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Explain: Yes No 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:

7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

SUB-TOTAL:	CPD hrs.
------------	----------

#### Tuesday, June 9 @ 13:00 – 14:30 Meeting Room 4/5 **CPD Credit Hours = 1.5 PAPERS: PEDIATRIC OHNS CHAIR:** Dr. Darren Leito, Winnipeg, MB Learning Objectives: Please see individual paper abstracts at: http://www.entcanada.org/wp-content/uploads/Paper-Abstracts-Pediatric-OHNS.pdf ☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please **CIRCLE** the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations Fair Poor Good Very Good Excellent 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations No Explain: 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice: 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics: Tuesday, June 9 @ 15:00 – 16:15 Meeting Room 4/5 CPD Credit Hours = 1.25 WS #40 A Witches Brew of Therapies-Optimizing Evidence-based Practice in the Pre-, Peri-, and Post-operative Care of Patients with Chronic Rhinosinusitis - L. Sowerby, London, ON, L. Rudmik, Calgary, AB, Y. Chan, Toronto, ON, K. McDonald, Ottawa, ON, E. Wright, Edmonton, AB Learning Objectives: 1. Be able to apply a greater understanding of the current evidence surrounding perioperative care in rhinology to clinical practice. 2. Comprehend the current short-comings in the literature regarding evidence-based care in rhinology 3. Be able to describe and utilize maximal medical therapy for patients prior to surgery ☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please **CIRCLE** the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time? Significant Degree Not at all Limited Degree Completely 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)? Not at all Significant Degree Limited Degree Completely 5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations No Explain: 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice: 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

Tuesday, June 9 @ 08:00 – 08:55	Pan A	m Room	CPD C	redit Ho	urs = 1.0			
<b>WS #30</b> Medical Education 2.0: New Interactive Tools, Technologies and Techniques for Teaching Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery – A. Gooi, M. Gousseau, S. Nelko, D. Wesst, Winnipeg, MB								
Learning Objectives: 1. By the end of the workshop, the med of the workshop, the medical educators will discuss opportunit educators will possess a framework to apply these interactive workshop, the medical educators will have the knowledge of data Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.	ies for elearning learning strateg	g and active learning 3 ies when teaching fut	B. By the endure education	of the workshound of the workshound of the workshould be w	p, the medical By the end of the			
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating								
1. Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent			
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	l t Degree	Completely			
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	t Degree	Completely			
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely			
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:	-	1		1			
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change	ge your practice:							
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR sugg	estions for futur	re CME/CPD topics:						
Tuesday, June 9 @ 12:00 – 12:30 WS#34 Management of Hyperparathyroidism Secon		<b>n Room</b> Failure – P. Kerr, M. I			urs = 0.5  J. Harris, Edmonton,			
AB  Learning Objectives: 1. Understand the pathophysiology of secondary hyperparathyroidism due to renal failure. 2. Know the medical options available for management. 3. Gain an appreciation for the indications for, and extent of surgery. 4. Understand issues pertaining to perioperative management.								
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.								
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating								
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent			
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely			
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree Comp		Completely			
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	t Degree	Completely			
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:	•			•			

6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:

7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

SUB-TOTAL: \_\_\_\_CPD hrs.

#### Tuesday, June 9 @ 12:30 - 13:00 Pan Am Room CPD Credit Hours = 0.5

**WS#38** Sustainable High Performance Skills for Surgeons: Strategies to Enhance Work/Life Balance - F. G. Osler, J. Jones, E. Meen, C. Botterill, J. Brooks, A. Hussain, Winnipeg, MB

**Learning Objectives:** By the end of the presentation, the participant will be able to: 1. Describe "High Performance" psychology skills 2. Analyze the individual and team skills required to perform under pressure 3. Formulate improved performance strategies and skills

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

#### Please **CIRCLE** the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Explain:

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

#### Tuesday, June 9 @ 13:00 – 14:30 Pan Am Room CPD Credit Hours = 1.5

# PAPERS: HEAD AND NECK SURGERY 3 CHAIR: Dr. Norbert Viallet, Winnipeg, MB

**Learning Objectives**: Please see individual paper abstracts at: <a href="http://www.entcanada.org/wp-content/uploads/Paper-Abstracts-Head-and-Neck-3.pdf">http://www.entcanada.org/wp-content/uploads/Paper-Abstracts-Head-and-Neck-3.pdf</a>

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Subject content of the presentations		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely	
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes	No	Explain:	1	1		1

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

SUB-TOTAL:	CPD hrs.
------------	----------

#### Tuesday, June 9 @ 08:00 - 08:55 Meeting Room 3 CPD Credit Hours = 1.0

WS#31 Management of the Chronically Dizzy Patient: New Concepts and Real-World Tips – J. Lea, B. Westerberg, T. Mijovic, Vancouver, BC, D. Pothier, Toronto, ON

**Learning Objectives:** 1. Attendees will have a working knowledge of the role of psychological factors in chronic subjective dizziness. 2. Attendees will be familiar with the identifying features of a significant psychological overlay. 3. Attendees will be able to apply clinical tools to assist with the diagnosis of a psychological overlay. 4. Techniques for the further management of psychological disorders will be introduced. 5. Attendees will be able to recognize the organic lesions most likely to be at the root of chronic subjective dizziness.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Diagea	CIRCL	F the an	nronria	te rating
FIGGSG		L uie ap	proprie	te rating

Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as li	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely	
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted til	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely	
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes	es No	Explain:	- '	1		1

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

## Tuesday, June 9 @ 12:00 – 12:30 Meeting Room 3 CPD Credit Hours = 0.5

WS #35 Overview of Free Radicals - B. Blakley, Winnipeg, MB

**Learning Objectives:** After this workshop the participant shall: 1. Have an appreciation for the complexity of antioxidant claims, 2. Be able to assess some claims and counter-claims in the medical and lay press and, 3. Understand some aspects of REDOX medicine as applied to hearing.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating							
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good V	ery Good	Excellent		
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely		
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely		
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely		
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:						

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- $7.\ Give\ us\ suggestions\ on\ how\ to\ improve\ this\ session\ OR\ suggestions\ for\ future\ CME/CPD\ topics:$

Tuesday, June 9 @ 12:30 – 13	3:00	Meeting	g Room 3	CPD	Credit Ho	ours = 0.5			
WS #39 E-Cigarettes: Fact or Fumes? – S. Kohlert, Ottawa, ON									
<b>Learning Objectives:</b> Over the course of this session, attendees will: 1. Learn about the different components of e-cigarettes, as well as their cost, availability and mechanism of action 2. Be introduced to the limited evidence available regarding the safety, quality efficacy of ecigarettes 3. Gain an appreciation for the legal and ethical controversies surrounding the sale of ecigarettes to Canadians 4. Appreciate the position statements on e-cigarettes published by multiple well respected national organizations 5. Become more comfortable in discussing e-cigarettes with their patients.									
Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.									
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating									
1. Subject content of the presentations     Poor     Fair     Good     Very Good     Excellent									
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as lis	ted?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significar	t Degree	Completely			
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time	e?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely			
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s	)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely			
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes	S No	Explain:	<b>-</b>	ı		1			
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session	will chan	ge your practice:							
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session  Tuesday, June 9 @ 13:00 – 14	-	gestions for futur  Meeting I	·	PD Cre	dit Hour	s = 1.5			
PAPERS: RHINOLOGY CHAIR: Dr. Rick Gall									
<b>Learning Objectives:</b> Please see individual paper systemic biomarkers of CRS and their potential role better understanding of postESS maintenance there	s in the pa								
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.									
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating									
1. Subject content of the presentations		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent			
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as lis	ted?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely			
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely			
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s	4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?  Not at all  Limited Degree  Significant Degree  Completely								
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes	S No	Explain:	I	1		1			
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session	will chan	ge your practice:							
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:									

SUB-TOTAL: \_\_\_\_CPD hrs.

Tuesday, June 9 @ 08:00 – 08:	55 I	Lectu	re Th	neat	re CP	D Cred	lit Hours	= 1.0	
WS#32 Versatile Regional Flaps for Every Head and Neck Surgeon – T. Ayad, A. Rahal, E. Bissada, Montreal, QC									
<b>Learning Objectives</b> : Upon completion of this workshop the participants will: 1. Understand the principles underlying the use of the FAMM flap, submental flap and supraclavicular flap. 2. Implement appropriately these pedicled flaps in their practice.									
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.  Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating									
Subject content of the presentations		Poor		Fair		Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed	?	Not at al	I	Limite	ed Degree	Significar	,	Completely	
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?		Not at al	I		ed Degree	Significar		Completely	
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?		Not at al	I	Limite	ed Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes	No	Explain:	Explain:						
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session w	 ill chang	le your pra	ctice:						
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:									
Tuesday, June 9 @ 12:00 – 13:0	00 I	Lectui	re Th	neat	re CP	D Cred	lit Hours	= 1.0	
WS#36 Good to Great Residency Programs -	- J. Fran	k, RCPSC	, Ottawa	a, ON					
Learning Objectives: N/A									
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.									
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating									
1. Subject content of the presentations			Poor		Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed	?	Not at al		Limite	ed Degree	Significar	t Degree	Completely	
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?		Not at al	I	Limite	ed Degree	Significant Degree		Completely	
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?		Not at al	I	Limite	ed Degree	Significant Degree		Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes	No	Explain:							
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:									

7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

SUB-TOTAL: \_\_\_\_CPD hrs.

#### Tuesday, June 9 @ 13:00 - 14:30 Lecture Theatre CPD Credit Hours = 1.5

PAPERS: GENERAL OHNS							
CHAIR: Dr. Adrian Gooi, Winnipeg, MB  Learning Objectives: Please see individual paper abstracts at: <a href="http://www.entcanada.org/wp-content/uploads/Paper-Abstracts-General-Otolaryngology.pdf">http://www.entcanada.org/wp-content/uploads/Paper-Abstracts-General-Otolaryngology.pdf</a>							
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.							
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating							
1. Subject content of the presentations		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely	
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely	
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes	No	Explain:	1	1		1	
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will	chang	e your practice:					
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR	R sugge	estions for future (	CME/CPD topics:				
Tuesday, June 9 @ 15:00 – 16:1!	5 L	ecture Th	leatre CPI	D Gredi	t Hours	= 1.25	
WS #41 A Look at the Current Status of Ear Sur				-	Versus Micro	scopic Techniques	
- J. Lea, Vancouver, BC, D. Pothier, J. Chen, Toronto, C	ON, B.	Westerberg, T. M	ijovic, Vancouver, B	C			
Learning Objectives: Upon completion of this workshop endoscopic and microscopic techniques in ear surgery. 2	2. Have	e a working knowl	edge of both endos	copic and m	croscopic appr	oaches for common	
ear diseases. 3. Understand the current trends and statu Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.	is or ea	ar surgery techniq	ues in Canada base	ed on a natio	n-wide survey.		
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating							
1. Subject content of the presentations		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely	
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely		
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely		
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes	No	Explain:					
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:							

**End of Tuesday June 4 Sessions** 

7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

SUB-TOTAL \_\_\_\_CPD hrs.

Your general comments about the meeting and recommendations for future topics:

Thank-you for your comments!