

72nd Annual Meeting June 17-19, 2018 Quebec City Convention Centre, QUEBEC, QC

"Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgeons – Leaders in Education"

2018 SESSION-SPECIFIC EVALUATION FORMS Overall Learning Objectives

This meeting provides learners in the specialty of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery (including general and subspecialty otolaryngologists as well as resident and medical student trainees) a significant opportunity to attend sessions of interest and acquire further understanding and knowledge in areas of perceived / unperceived weakness in the specialty. All branches of the specialty, including head & neck oncology, laryngology, rhinology, otology, neurotology, pediatric otolaryngology, facial plastic and reconstructive surgery, general otolaryngology, sleep disorders, and medical education, are part of the program.

We will run three concurrent sessions of workshops and podium presentations. This provides delegates with a great variety of learning opportunities. As always, there will be ample time allotted for enhanced dialogue, discussion and interaction with the various presenters. In keeping with the theme of this year's meeting, guest speakers will present their areas of expertise through plenary talks and workshops. Increased numbers of workshops will give each learner practical and adoptable knowledge to use immediately in practice when they return home. We will also have a series of pre-convention courses designed to give impactful hands-on experience to attendees. This year's program will include workshops and presentations for the community-based practitioners, continued information on technological advancements, and popular updates in a variety of topics.

This meeting is an Accredited Group Learning Activity (Section 1) as defined by the Maintenance of Certification Program of the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada and approved by the CSOHNS.

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO RECEIVE YOUR OFFICIAL CERTIFICATE OF ATTENDANCE:

In this booklet you will find **ALL** the session-specific evaluation forms for the meeting. Please complete the appropriate sections and return the booklet to <u>either</u> the meeting's **REGISTRATION DESK** (by the end of the meeting) or the **SOCIETY'S OFFICE BY July 15**th. Your certificate will be sent according to the instructions below.

If you feel CONFIDENTIALITY is an issue, DETACH this sheet and send it to us SEPARATELY.

Your opinion and feedback is **ESSENTIAL**. It will be used to help plan future CPD/CME events.

Max. Hours for 72nd Annual Meeting: 19

DELEGATE NAME:			
☐ I wish to have my certificate of att	endance EMAILED to:		
☐ I wish to have my certificate MAIL	LED to:		
Address:			
City:	Prov:	Postal Code:	
TOTAL HOURS CLAIMED:			

Society's mailing address:

Canadian Society of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery

68 Gilkison Street, ELORA, ON NOB 1S0 Tel: 800-655-9533 Fax: 519-846-9529 Email: cso.hns@sympatico.ca

Sunday, June 17 @ 08:00 - 9:45

CPD Credit Hours = 1.75

OPENING PLENARY SESSION I

Guest of Honour Presentation – H. Lampe, Palm Springs, FL

Lifetime Achievement Award Presentation - S. Frenkiel, Montreal, QC

Guest Speaker Presentation - "Clinically Relevant Phenotypes in CRS" - R. Harvey, Sydney, Australia

Learning Objectives: N/A

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating					
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good V	ery Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant De	egree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant De	egree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant De	egree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:				

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

Sunday, June 17 @ 10:20 - 11:55

Salon 200C

Salon 200C

CPD Credit Hours = 1.75

OPENING PLENARY SESSION II

Guest Speaker Presentation, A. Picard, *Montreal*, *QC* – "Private vs. Public, Necessary vs. Unnecessary: Where Do We Draw the Lines on Medicare Coverage"

COHNS Collaborative Research Initiative Presentation A. Nichols, London, ON, T. Eskander, Toronto, ON

Education in Canada - K. Fung, London, ON, F.G. Osler, Winnipeg, MB

Hodge Memorial Award Recipient – V. Biron, *Edmonton*, *AB* – "Analytic and Clinical Validity of Thyroid Nodule Mutational Profiling Using Droplet Digital Polymerase Chain Reaction"

Learning Objectives: N/A.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations No Explain:

- ${\bf 6.}\ \ {\bf Identify\ at\ least\ one\ way\ in\ which\ this\ CPD\ session\ will\ change\ your\ practice:}$
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

SU	JB-TO	TAL	CPD	hrs

Sunday, June 17 @ 13:30 - 14:15 Salon 200C **CPD Credit Hours = 0.75** Panel Discussion: ": Big "T" Technology: Does It Provide Value for Our Patients?" - Moderator: A. Picard, Montreal, QC Panelists: M.J. Olivier, Montreal, QC, T. Wallace, Amherst, NS, J. de Almeida, Toronto, ON, J. Jones, Winnipeg, MB Learning Objectives: Not Available ☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations Poor Very Good Fair Good Excellent 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed? Significant Degree Not at all Limited Degree Completely 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No Explain: 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice: 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

Sunday, June 17 @ 14:20 – 15:05

Salon 200C

CPD Credit Hours = 0.75

WS #1

Eyelid Reconstruction for the Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgeon - C. Moore, London, ON

Learning Objectives: Learning objectives: Participants should be able to 1.) understand relevant reconstructive issues when dealing with evelid defects; 2.) choose appropriate reconstructive options when faced with different upper and lower eyelid defects; 3.) discuss the nuances of properly executing different eyelid reconstructions; 4.) to diagnose common eyelid malposition defects; 5.) understand common techniques used in cosmetic blepharoplasty.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)? Significant Degree Not at all Limited Degree Completely 5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Explain: No 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice: 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

Sunday, June 17 @ 15:30 - 16:15

Salon 200C

CPD Credit Hours = .75

WS#4:

Ask an Otologist - B. Blakley, Winnipeg, MB, J. Chau, Calgary, AB, V. Lin, Toronto, ON

Learning Objectives: At the end of this workshop, participants will be better able to discuss the evidence for treatment of: 1.Intratympanic Steroids 2. Philosophy of Vestibular Testing 3. Tympanoplasty outcomes.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating				
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:			
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will chang	e your practice:			

7.	Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics	:

Sunday, June 17 @ 16:20 - 17:05

Salon 200C

CPD Credit Hours = 0.75

WS #6: Quality Improvement 101 - A Primer for Otolaryngologists- P. Singh, E. Monteiro, D. Enepekides, Toronto, ON

Learning Objectives: 1) Discuss and explore the methodology of Quality Improvement, including useful quality improvement tools. 2) Discuss and explore the basics of LEAN methodology and it's role in Quality Improvement in surgery. 3) Discuss and explore the role of QI in OTO-HNS at both the research and educational levels.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating				
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good Very Go	ood Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:			
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will chan	ge your practice	:		

- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

c	111	\Box	LO.	Т٨	I 4	CP	Πŀ	rc
•	U	D - I	v		_ ,	-	o i	11 5

Sunday, J	June 17	@ 14:20	– 15:05
-----------	---------	---------	----------------

Salon 205ABC

CPD Credit Hours = .75

WS #2

Management of Chronic Aspiration in Adult Patients – D. Randall, Calgary, AB, D. Eibling, Pittsburgh, PA, C. Jeffery, Edmonton, AB

Learning Objectives: By the end of the presentation, the attendees will: 1. Appreciate the role of otolaryngologists in assessment of patients with chronic aspiration symptoms. 2. Understand medical management and behavioural modification in optimizing function in aspirating patients 3. Understand indications of various surgical options in patients with chronic aspiration.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating						
Subject content of the presentations	ı	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	1	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	1	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	1	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes N	No E	Explain:				

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

Sunday, June 17 @ 15:30 - 16:45

Salon 205ABC

CPD Credit Hours = 1.25

PAPERS: Head & Neck Surgery 1 (Endocrine)

Chair: Dr. Shamir Chandarana, Calgary, AB

Learning Objectives: See individual presentation abstracts for learning objectives online at: http://otohns.ca/schedule/

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating				
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain :		•	
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will cha	ange vour practice	:		

- Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice.
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

211	ID TATA	1 CDD 1	
วน	JB-TOTA	L CPD I	115

Sunday, June 17 @ 14:20 – 15:05

Salon 206AB

CPD Credit Hours = .75

WS #3

Perioperative Management of Sleep Apnea in Otolaryngologic Surgery – L. Sowerby, London, ON, D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON, J. Savage, Sherbrooke, QC, J. Chau, Calgary, AB, F. Chung, Toronto, ON

Learning Objectives: 1. To appreciate the variability in current practice across Canada for screening and perioperative management of sleep apnea. 2. To review best practice in the post-operative management of CPAP usage in Otolaryngology patients. 3. To allow for development of screening guidelines to better standardize perioperative screening and conversion of one-day stay to same day admit surgeries.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

. Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed? Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree Significant Degree Significant Degree		Completely Completely Completely
				Limited Degree			
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?		presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)? Not at all		Limited Degree			
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:				

Sunday, June 17 @ 15:30 – 16:15

7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

Salon 206AB

CPD Credit Hours = .75

WS #5

International Rhinology Forum with the Experts: Medical and Surgical Management of Common Rhinologic Conditions – A. Janjua, Vancouver, BC, E. Wright, Edmonton, AB, C. Hopkins, London, UK, R. Harvey, Sydney, AU

Learning Objectives: By the end of the workshop, the audience will be able to: 1) Distinguish the presentations of a number of common rhinologic disease. 2) Evaluate some of the commonly encountered rhinologic conditions. 3) Consider the most appropriate management strategy, medical vs surgical, for patients with difficult to manage rhinologic diseases.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.											
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating											
1. Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent						
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely						
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely						
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely						
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:										
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will chang	e your practice:										

SUB-TOTAL	CPD hrs.
-----------	----------

Sunday, June 17 @ 16:20 – 17:16												
CPD Credit Hours = 1.00												
PAPERS: FACIAL PLASTIC SURGERY												
Chair: Dr. Michael Brandt, Toronto, ON												
Learning Objectives:	nline et http://et	ahna aa/aahadula/										
See individual presentation abstracts for learning objectives of	illine at. <u>mtp.//ot</u>	Offins.ca/scriedule/										
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.												
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating												
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent							
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree Compl		Completely							
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely							
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significar	nt Degree	Completely							
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:	•										
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change	ge your practice:											
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR sugg	jestions for futur	re CME/CPD topics:										

End of Sund	lay, June 17 Sessions
SUB-TOTAL	CPD hrs.

SUB-TOTAL ____CPD hrs.

Monday, June 18 @ 08:00 – 09:10 Salon 200C										
CPD Credit Hours = 1.25										
Plenary Session Celebrating our Own - Dr. L. Parnes, London, ON Guest Speaker Presentation - "Improving Outcomes from Endoscopic Sinus Surgery" - Dr. C. Hopkins, London, UK										
Learning Objectives: Not Available										
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.										
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating										
1. Subject content of the presentations Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent										
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as li	sted?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely			
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted ti	ne?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely			
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic	s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely			
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Y	es	No	Explain:							
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session	n will	chang	e your practice:							
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this sess	on Ol	R sugg	estions for future	e CME/CPD topics:						
Monday, June 18@ 09:15 – 10:00 Salon 200C										
Monday, June 18@ 09:15 – 1	0:0	0				Salon 2	200 C			
CPD Credit Hours = .75	0:0	0				Salon 2	200 C			
CPD Credit Hours = .75 WS #7										
CPD Credit Hours = .75 WS #7 Highlights and Pearls for Myringoplasty: Fi	rom (Open		<u> </u>		ntreal, QC, A.	James, Toronto, ON			
CPD Credit Hours = .75 WS #7	rom of	Open tolaryr	gologists attend	ing will be able to: 1)	Consider th	ntreal, QC, A. e appropriate ti	James, Toronto, ON reatment for tympanic			
WS #7 Highlights and Pearls for Myringoplasty: Filearning Objectives: By the end of the session, membrane perforation. 2) Differentiate the advanta action of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing pro-	rom (the O	Open tolaryn	gologists attend lications of the e	ing will be able to: 1)	Consider th	ntreal, QC, A. e appropriate ti	James, Toronto, ON reatment for tympanic			
WS #7 Highlights and Pearls for Myringoplasty: Filearning Objectives: By the end of the session, membrane perforation. 2) Differentiate the advanta action of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing production. Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.	rom (the O	Open tolaryn	gologists attend lications of the e	ing will be able to: 1)	Consider th	ntreal, QC, A. e appropriate ti	James, Toronto, ON reatment for tympanic			
WS #7 Highlights and Pearls for Myringoplasty: Filearning Objectives: By the end of the session, membrane perforation. 2) Differentiate the advanta action of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing pro-	rom (the O	Open tolaryn	gologists attend lications of the e	ing will be able to: 1)	Consider th	ntreal, QC, A. e appropriate ti	James, Toronto, ON reatment for tympanic			
WS #7 Highlights and Pearls for Myringoplasty: Fi Learning Objectives: By the end of the session, membrane perforation. 2) Differentiate the advanta action of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing pro Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating	r om (the O ges a cess	Open itolaryr and ind of myr	gologists attend lications of the e ingoplasty.	ing will be able to: 1) ndoscopic transcana	Consider th I myringopla	ntreal, QC, A. e appropriate ti sty. 3) Understa	James, Toronto, ON reatment for tympanic and the mechanism of			
WS #7 Highlights and Pearls for Myringoplasty: Filearning Objectives: By the end of the session, membrane perforation. 2) Differentiate the advanta action of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing production. Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations	rom (the O ges a cess	Open itolaryr and ind of myr	gologists attend lications of the e ingoplasty. Poor	ing will be able to: 1) ndoscopic transcana Fair	Consider th I myringopla Good	ntreal, QC, A. e appropriate to sty. 3) Understa Very Good t Degree	James, Toronto, ON reatment for tympanic and the mechanism of			
WS #7 Highlights and Pearls for Myringoplasty: File Learning Objectives: By the end of the session, membrane perforation. 2) Differentiate the advanta action of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing production of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing production. Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations	rom (the O ges a cess	Open itolaryr and ind of myr	gologists attendications of the eingoplasty. Poor Not at all	ing will be able to: 1) ndoscopic transcana Fair Limited Degree	Consider the I myringopla Good Significan	ntreal, QC, A. le appropriate ti sty. 3) Understa Very Good t Degree	James, Toronto, ON reatment for tympanic and the mechanism of Excellent Completely			
WS #7 Highlights and Pearls for Myringoplasty: Filearning Objectives: By the end of the session, membrane perforation. 2) Differentiate the advanta action of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing pro Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as lift. 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted times.	rom (fine O ges a cess)	Open itolaryr and ind of myr	gologists attend lications of the e ingoplasty. Poor Not at all	ring will be able to: 1) ndoscopic transcana Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree	Good Good Significan Significan	ntreal, QC, A. le appropriate ti sty. 3) Understa Very Good t Degree	James, Toronto, ON reatment for tympanic and the mechanism of Excellent Completely Completely			
WS #7 Highlights and Pearls for Myringoplasty: Filearning Objectives: By the end of the session, membrane perforation. 2) Differentiate the advanta action of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing production of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing production. Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as lift. 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time.	rom (the Oges access)	Open itolaryn and ind of myn	gologists attendications of the eingoplasty. Poor Not at all Not at all Explain:	ring will be able to: 1) ndoscopic transcana Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree	Good Good Significan Significan	ntreal, QC, A. le appropriate ti sty. 3) Understa Very Good t Degree	James, Toronto, ON reatment for tympanic and the mechanism of Excellent Completely Completely			
WS #7 Highlights and Pearls for Myringoplasty: File Learning Objectives: By the end of the session, membrane perforation. 2) Differentiate the advanta action of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing production of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing production. Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as lid. 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time. 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic. 5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Year. 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session.	the Ogges access sted? me?	Open Itolaryr and ind of myn	gologists attendications of the eingoplasty. Poor Not at all Not at all Explain: Explain:	Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree Limited Degree	Good Good Significan Significan	ntreal, QC, A. le appropriate ti sty. 3) Understa Very Good t Degree	James, Toronto, ON reatment for tympanic and the mechanism of Excellent Completely Completely			
WS #7 Highlights and Pearls for Myringoplasty: File Learning Objectives: By the end of the session, membrane perforation. 2) Differentiate the advanta action of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing production of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing production. Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as lift. 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time. 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic. 5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	the Ogges access sted? me?	Open Itolaryr and ind of myn	gologists attendications of the eingoplasty. Poor Not at all Not at all Explain: Explain:	Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree Limited Degree	Good Good Significan Significan	ntreal, QC, A. le appropriate ti sty. 3) Understa Very Good t Degree	James, Toronto, ON reatment for tympanic and the mechanism of Excellent Completely Completely			
WS #7 Highlights and Pearls for Myringoplasty: File Learning Objectives: By the end of the session, membrane perforation. 2) Differentiate the advanta action of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing production of hyaluronic acid fat graft in the healing production. Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as lid. 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time. 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic. 5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Year. 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session.	the Ogges access sted? me?	Open Itolaryr and ind of myn	gologists attendications of the eingoplasty. Poor Not at all Not at all Explain: Explain:	Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree Limited Degree	Good Good Significan Significan	ntreal, QC, A. le appropriate ti sty. 3) Understa Very Good t Degree	James, Toronto, ON reatment for tympanic and the mechanism of Excellent Completely Completely			

								10				
Monday, June 18@ 10:30 – 11:30 Salon 200C												
CPD Credit Hours = 1.00												
WS #10						- • • • •						
A Practical Approach to the Surgical Ma												
Learning Objectives: After the workshop, the 2) Developed a practical approach to the mana								ماد				
and irreversible facial paralysis. 4) Gained som						Dovoloped an	approach to reversion	10				
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.												
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat	ing											
1. Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent					
		10			- I							
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a	as listed	17	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significar	it Degree	Completely					
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	d time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	Significant Degree Comple						
							. ,					
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	pic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree Completely		Completely					
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:									
o. Torontou commercial state in presentations	100	110	Ελριαίτι.									
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD se	ssion w	ill chang	ge your practice:	•								
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s	ession (OR sugo	estions for futur	re CME/CPD topics:								
•			,									
Monday, June 18 @ 13:30 -	13:4	45				Salon	200C					
CPD Credit Hours = 0.25												
Special Presentation – Lear	nENT:	Tho O	fficial Educat	tional Platform of t	ho Canadi	an Society of	f Otolaryngology-					
Head & Neck Surgery – S. Kohlert, C. Be						•	Otolal yligology-					
Learning Objectives: Over the course of this p							earnENT. and how th	<u>—</u>				
platform can be used to suit their (or their learn												
track their learners' progress throughout the co												
content, as well as the sustainability of the plati institutions. 5) Have the opportunity to downloa							e LearnEN1 at their					
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.	iu iiie aj	up (ui a	cooss in e pialio	ini oninej to test Lea	IIILIVI IOI (I	icinotives.						
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat	i no cr											
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat	ing											

Very Good 1. Subject content of the presentations Poor Fair Good Excellent Not at all Significant Degree 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed? Limited Degree Completely 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)? Completely 5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations No Explain: 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:

QI I	R-TO	TAI	CPD hrs	

SUB-TOTAL _____CPD hrs.

Monday, June 18@ 13:45 -	15:	10			,	Salon 20	0C		
CPD Credit Hours = 1.50									
Poliquin Residents Compe	titio	n							
Chair: Dr. Jeffrey Harris, E	dmont	on, AB							
Learning Objectives: See individual presentation abstracts for learning	ng objed	ctives or	nline at: <u>http://oto</u>	ohns.ca/schedule/					
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.									
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rat	ing								
Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely									
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	d time?	ı	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely		
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	ppic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely		
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:	1	1		1		
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD se	ssion w	ill chang	je your practice:						
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this s	ession (OR sugg	estions for future	e CME/CPD topics:					
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:									
Manday June 40@ 45.40		15				Salan 20	100		
Monday, June 18@ 15:40 -	17:	15				Salon 20	00C		
CPD Credit Hours = 1.50				L L \		Salon 20	00C		
CPD Credit Hours = 1.50 Poliquin Residents Compe	titio	n (foll	•	break)		Salon 20	00 C		
CPD Credit Hours = 1.50 Poliquin Residents Compe Chair: Dr. Jeffrey Harris, E	titio	n (foll	•	break)		Salon 20)0C		
CPD Credit Hours = 1.50 Poliquin Residents Compe	titio	on (foll	1	,		Salon 20	00 C		
CPD Credit Hours = 1.50 Poliquin Residents Compe Chair: Dr. Jeffrey Harris, E Learning Objectives:	titio	on (foll	1	,		Salon 20	00C		
CPD Credit Hours = 1.50 Poliquin Residents Compe Chair: Dr. Jeffrey Harris, E Learning Objectives: See individual presentation abstracts for learnin Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.	etitio idmont	on (foll	1	,		Salon 20)OC		
CPD Credit Hours = 1.50 Poliquin Residents Compe Chair: Dr. Jeffrey Harris, E Learning Objectives: See individual presentation abstracts for learning	etitio idmont	on (foll	1	,	Good	Salon 20 Very Good	Excellent		
CPD Credit Hours = 1.50 Poliquin Residents Compe Chair: Dr. Jeffrey Harris, E Learning Objectives: See individual presentation abstracts for learnin ☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate ration	e titio idmont ng objec	on (follow, AB)	aline at: <u>http://oto</u>	ohns.ca/schedule/		Very Good			
CPD Credit Hours = 1.50 Poliquin Residents Compe Chair: Dr. Jeffrey Harris, E Learning Objectives: See individual presentation abstracts for learnin Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate ration. Subject content of the presentations	etitio idmont ng object ing	on (follow) to the contractives or the contractive or the cont	nline at: <u>http://oto</u>	<u>ohns.ca/schedule/</u>	Good	Very Good t Degree	Excellent		
Poliquin Residents Compectair: Dr. Jeffrey Harris, E Learning Objectives: See individual presentation abstracts for learnin ☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate ration 1. Subject content of the presentations 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a	etitio idmonting objecting	on (foll ton, AB ctives or	Poor Not at all	hns.ca/schedule/ Fair Limited Degree	Good	Very Good t Degree	Excellent Completely		
CPD Credit Hours = 1.50 Poliquin Residents Compe Chair: Dr. Jeffrey Harris, E Learning Objectives: See individual presentation abstracts for learnin ☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate 1. Subject content of the presentations 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotter	etitio idmonting objecting	on (foll ton, AB ctives or	Poor Not at all	Phns.ca/schedule/ Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree	Good Significan Significan	Very Good t Degree	Excellent Completely Completely		
CPD Credit Hours = 1.50 Poliquin Residents Compectair: Dr. Jeffrey Harris, Experimental Experiments of the Presentation abstracts for learning Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate rations 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotter 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to 1. Subject content of the presentations 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a 3. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on 4. Was the presenter(s)	etitio dedmont ng object ing as listed ed time? ppic(s)? Yes	on (follow) ton, AB	Poor Not at all Not at all Explain:	Phns.ca/schedule/ Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree	Good Significan Significan	Very Good t Degree	Excellent Completely Completely		
Poliquin Residents Compectair: Dr. Jeffrey Harris, Elearning Objectives: See individual presentation abstracts for learning Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate 1. Subject content of the presentations 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives at 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted. 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to 5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD se	etitio dmont ng object ing as listed ed time? ppic(s)? Yes ssion w	on (follow) ton, AB ctives or	Poor Not at all Not at all Explain:	Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree Limited Degree	Good Significan Significan	Very Good t Degree	Excellent Completely Completely		
Poliquin Residents Compectair: Dr. Jeffrey Harris, Elearning Objectives: See individual presentation abstracts for learning Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate ration 1. Subject content of the presentations 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives and 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the total content of the presentations	etitio dmont ng object ing as listed ed time? ppic(s)? Yes ssion w	on (follow) ton, AB ctives or	Poor Not at all Not at all Explain:	Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree Limited Degree	Good Significan Significan	Very Good t Degree	Excellent Completely Completely		
Poliquin Residents Compectair: Dr. Jeffrey Harris, Elearning Objectives: See individual presentation abstracts for learning Attended, but do not wish to evaluate. Please CIRCLE the appropriate rate 1. Subject content of the presentations 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives at 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted. 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to 5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD se	etitio dmont ng object ing as listed ed time? ppic(s)? Yes ssion w	on (follow) ton, AB ctives or	Poor Not at all Not at all Explain:	Fair Limited Degree Limited Degree Limited Degree	Good Significan Significan	Very Good t Degree	Excellent Completely Completely		

Monday, .	June 1	<mark>8</mark> @ 09:1	 5	10:00	
-----------	--------	-----------------------	-----------	-------	--

Salon 205ABC

CPD Credit Hours = .75

WS #8

The Challenge of Physician-Industry Interactions – Y. Chan, I. Witterick, Toronto, ON, M. Desrosiers, S. Daniel, Montreal, QC, P. **Spafford,** Saskatoon, SK

Learning Objectives: At the end of this interactive seminar participants should be able to: 1) Have a better understanding of how industry advertises to physicians as a specialised type of consumer using targeted marketing strategies. 2) Understand potential sources of conflict of interest. 3) Have developed personalized strategies for managing them.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating						
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as li	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely	
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted til	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely	
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Ye	s No	Explain:	·			
6 Identify at least one way in which this CDD session	n will cha	nge vour practice	•			

- Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

Monday, June 18@ 10:30 - 11:50

Salon 205ABC

CPD Credit Hours = 1.50

PAPERS: EDUCATION

Chair: Dr. Lily Nguyen, Montreal, QC

Learning Objectives:

See individual presentation abstracts for learning objectives online at: http://otohns.ca/schedule/

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent 2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)? Not at all Limited Degree Significant Degree Completely 5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No Explain: 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice: 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

S١	JB-	TC.	١T.	ΔΙ	_ CPD	hre
31	JD-		, , ,	нι	- GPD	1112

Monday, June 18@ 13:45 – 14:30

Salon 205ABC

CPD Credit Hours = 0.75

WS #13

2 for 1: The Safety and Feasibility of Rhinoplasty Combined with Sinus and Other Otolaryngologic Surgery – L. Sowerby, London, ON, J. Chau, B. Mechor, Calgary, AB, M. Samaha, Montreal, QC, B. Rotenberg, London, ON, D. Sommer, Hamilton, ON

Learning Objectives: 1) To appreciate the convenience and efficacy of combining sinus surgery and rhinoplasty. 2) To review best practice evidence in the perioperative management in rhinoplasty and how this management changes with concurrent sinus surgery. 3) To highlight potential red flags for cases that should be staged rather than combined.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rati	ng						
Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	Degree	Completely		
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted	d time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	pic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:				
C. Islandiffa at larget and according subtable their ODD and	!	911 - 1					

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

Monday, June 18@ 15:40 – 16:40

Salon 205ABC

CPD Credit Hours = 1.00

WS #14

L'ABC de l'intégration de la sécurité des patients (ASPIRE) dans un programme de résidence en ORL CCF – F. Thuot, S. Lachance, M.-N. Corriveau, Québec, QC

Learning Objectives: Not Available

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating							
1. Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good V	ery Good	Excellent		
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree Compl		Completely		
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant De	egree	Completely		
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant De	egree	Completely		
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:						
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:							

7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics

S	IIR-T	OTAL	CPD hrs.
_	ייםט	VIAL	

Monday, J	<mark>lune 18</mark> @ 9:1	15 – 10:00
CPD Credi	it Hours = .7	' 5

Salon 206AB

WS #9

Optimizing Care in Skin Cancer Surgery - S. Hamilton, Peterborough, ON

Learning Objectives: 1. Identify new technology to aid in referral and diagnosis of cutaneous malignancies. 2) Review role of dermoscopy in identification of skin cancer margin status. 2) Identify techniques to improve peri-operative patient comfort. 3) Review current guidelines regarding impact of anti-coagulants, topical ointments and antibiotics in routine skin cancer cases. 4) Create a general approach to cutaneous defects in order to reduce reconstructive complications. 5) Present a novel approach to transposition flap planning. 6) Optimize strategies for post-operative care following cutaneous surgery.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating					
1. Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree C		Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree (Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:				
6 Identify at least one way in which this CDD accoion will shape	o vour proofice.				

- Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

Monday, June 18 @ 10:30 - 11:15

Salon 206AB

CPD Credit Hours = 0.75

WS #11

How I Do It Videos - I. Witterick, J. de Almeida, P. Campisi, A. Vescan, J. Freeman, Y. Chan, V. Lin, Toronto, ON

Learning Objectives: 1) Describe methods to safely and efficiently perform common otolaryngology-head & neck surgery procedures. 2) Apply surgical tips from subspecialty experts to improve patient outcomes in their day-to-day practices.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating					
1. Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
3. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7			0.9	209.00	o comprosion y
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree Con		Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:				
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change	e vour practice.				

- Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

2	UB	_T/	$\neg T$. ν		\sim Dr) hrs
3	UВ	- 1 \		\boldsymbol{H}	<u>L</u>	GEL	

V	lond	lay, J	lune 1	8 @	11:20	- 12:05
---	------	--------	--------	------------	-------	----------------

Salon 206AB

CPD Credit Hours = 0.75

WS #12

Cartilage Tympanoplasty – Is It Time for You to Add It to Your Surgical Repertoire? - D.P. Morris, Halifax, NS, A. Ho, Edmonton, AB, J. Savage, Sherbrooke, QC

Learning Objectives: By the end of the workshop, participants will be able – 1) To consider the benefits of cartilage tympanoplasty over fascial repair at primary and revision surgery. 2) To safely harvest autologous cartilage and perichondrial composite grafts and provide cosmetic closure.

3) To carefully sculpt the composite graft into specific templates that can be adapted to a range of reconstruction requirements including reinforcement, perforation repair, complete replacement and combination with ossiculoplasty. 4) To appreciate the factors contributing to hearing outcomes in cartilage tympanoplasty.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating							
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely		
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree Co		Completely		
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely		
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:						
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:							

7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

End of Monday, Ju	ne 18 Sessions
SUB-TOTAL	CPD hrs.

					16	
Tuesday, June 19 @ 08:50 – 09:50 CPD Credit Hours = 1.00	0		Sal	lon 200C		
WS #15						
The Best Otolaryngology Articles of 2017-2018 – S. Kilty	, JP. Vaccan	i, D. Schramm, S. Jol	hnsonObasek	i, Ottawa, ON		
Learning Objectives: By the end of the session, the audier published during the last year in the subspecialty domains of published articles can impact their clinical practice.						
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.						
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating						
1. Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Do	egree	Completely	
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Do	egree	Completely	
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Do	egree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:					
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will cha						
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR sug	ggestions for fu	ture CME/CPD topics:				
Tuesday, June 19@ 10:15 – 11:18			•	Salon 20	0C	
CPD Credit Hours = 1.00						
PAPERS: GENERAL OTOLARYNGO	DLOGY					
Chair: Dr. Yvonne Chan, Toronto, ON Learning Objectives: See individual presentation abstracts for learning objectives	online at: http:	//otohns.ca/schedule/				
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.						
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating						
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely	
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely	
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:	1	L		1	

6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:

7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

SUB-TOTAL:	CPD	hrs
SUB-IUIAL.	 CFD	1115.

						17		
Tuesday, June 19 @ 13:00 – 13 CPD Credit Hours = 0.75	Tuesday, June 19@ 13:00 – 13:45 Salon 200C CPD Credit Hours = 0.75							
WS #20								
	Sustainable High Performance in Surgery: What Can We Learn from Athletes and Musicians? - J. Lea, B. Westerberg, M. Harriman,							
Vancouver, BC, D. Angel, St. John's, NL Learning Objectives: 1) To consider how cognitive	- almandati	lan aan ha waad	to monitively immedia	al nouf	armanaa Ol Ta			
from coaches and music teachers to better teach su sport/music in appraising performance.			, , ,	0 ,	,			
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.								
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating								
Subject content of the presentations		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as list	ed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely		
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely		
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely		
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes	No	Explain:						
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session								
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session	ı OR sug	gestions for futur	re CME/CPD topics:					
Tuesday, June 19 @ 13:50 – 19 CPD Credit Hours = 1.50	5:21			Sa	lon 2000			
PAPERS: OTOLOGY								
Chair: Dr. Vincent Lin, Toronto, ON								
Learning Objectives: See individual presentation abstracts for learning ob	jectives (online at: <u>http://o</u>	tohns.ca/schedule/					
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.								
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating								
Subject content of the presentations		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as list	ed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely		
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely		
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely		
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes	No	Explain:	•			•		
6 Identify at least one way in which this CDD session	will chan	an vour practice:						

7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

SHR-1	TOTAL:	CPD hrs.
JUD-	1	GED IIIS.

Tuesday, June 19@ 08:50 – 09:50 **CPD Credit Hours = 1.00**

Salon 205ABC

WS #16:

Performing Thyroid Lobectomy versus Total Thyroidectomy for Differentiated Thyroid Cancer – S. Chandarana, Calgary, AB, D. Goldstein, Toronto, ON, D. O'Connell, Edmonton, AB, S. Johnson-Obaseki, Ottawa, ON, R. Payne, Montreal, QC

Learning Objectives: 1) To highlight the ATA 2015 DTC Guidelines on role of thyroid lobectomy in the management of patients with known DTC and the rationale. 2) To educate the audience on careful patient selection when considering thyroid lobectomy. 3) To describe the optimal work-up for a patient being considered for thyroid lobectomy. 4) To understand when to convert from lobectomy to total thyroidectomy. 5) To develop a rigorous follow-up strategy for patients undergoing lobectomy. 6) To understand the pitfalls of performing lobectomy.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rati	ng							
1. Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a	s listed'	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely	
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted	d time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely	
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	pic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely	
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:					

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

Tuesday, June 19@ 10:15 - 11:15

Salon 205ABC

CPD Credit Hours = 1.00

WS #18

Evidence-Based Management of Unilateral Vocal Fold Paralysis – R. J. Lin, Toronto, ON, T. Brown, Halifax, NS, M. Brake, Vancouver, BC, J. Anderson, Toronto, ON

Learning Objectives: 1) Differentiate between paralysis/paresis and immobility/hypomobility; 2) Obtain a basic understanding of laryngeal electromyography (LEMG), including abnormal findings in vocal fold paralysis and how these findings are used as prognostic information to predict vocal fold motion recovery; 3) Perform awake vocal fold injection using three different approaches and describe the different types of injectable materials that are available; 4) Understand the fundamental concepts of laryngeal framework surgery such as medialization laryngoplasty and arytenoid adduction as well as the anesthetic techniques that are typically used in these procedures; 5) Develop a logical management sequence for unilateral vocal fold paralysis, including when to perform temporary and permanent vocal fold augmentation.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating					
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree		Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:				

- 6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will change your practice:
- 7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

SHI	R-TC)TAL	-	CР	\mathbf{D}	hi	re
JUI	J- I C	,,,,	_	•	_		-

Tuesday, June 19@ 11:20 – 12:20

Salon 205ABC

CPD Credit Hours = 1.00

WS #19:

Acute Airway Management: An Interactive Session for Airway and Crisis Resource Management Using Video-assisted Simulation – A. Gooi, Vancouver, BC, K. Fung, London, ON, L. Nguyen, Montreal, QC, N. Viallet, Winnipeg, MB

Learning Objectives: This workshop will help the participant: 1) Develop airway and crisis management skills. 2) Develop and improve communication and collaboration skills in the crisis setting.

☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating					
Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree Compl		Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree Completely		Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:				
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will cha	nge your practice:				

7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR suggestions for future CME/CPD topics:

Tuesday, June 19@ 13:00 – 13:45

Salon 205ABC

CPD Credit Hours = .75

WS #21

Molecular Testing for Thyroid Nodules: The ABC's – R. Hart, Halifax, NS, J. Freeman, Toronto, ON, V-I.Forest, M. Hier, Montreal, QC, S. Chandarana, Calgary, AB

Learning Objectives: 1) To educate the group on molecular testing so that the specialists can appropriately and confidently council their patients. To discuss the benefits and pitfalls of molecular testing. 2) To demonstrate that molecular testing is a significant breakthrough in the workup of thyroid nodules and that this filed of diagnostics is still evolving. 3) To highlight the ATA DTC 2015 Guidelines comments on the role of molecular testing. 4) To show specialists that others in Canada are using these tests and to highlight their criteria for using these tests in clinical practice. 4) To discuss the specific indications for molecular testing including the rationale.

Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating				
1. Subject content of the presentations	Poor	Fair	Good Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	Explain:			
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will chang	e your practice:			

	CPD hrs	UB-TOTAL:
--	---------	-----------

						20
Tuesday, June 19 @ 13:50 – 15:21 CPD Credit Hours = 1.50				Salo	n 205AB	C
PAPERS: HEAD & NECK SURGER	Y 2					
Chair: Dr. Kevin Higgins, Toronto, ON						
Learning Objectives: See individual presentation abstracts for learning objective.	s online at: <u>l</u>	nttp://oto	hns.ca/schedule/			
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.						
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating						
Subject content of the presentations	Poor		Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at a	ıll	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at a	ıll	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at a	ıll	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	'					
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will cha	ange your pr	actice:				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR su	ggestions fo	r future	CME/CPD topics:			
Tuesday, June 19 @ 08:50 – 09:50 CPD Credit Hours = 1.00				Salo	n 206AB	
WS #17	5 Mantaina	l lui-l	D Franckides F) Ohank Ta	t- ON	
Value in ENT Surgery – From Policies to the Bedside – Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, participates						as well as the notential
drawbacks. 2) Discuss funding reform as one method of inc collected, and used to identify quality gaps in surgical p	entivizing su ractice. 4) F	ırgical q	uality improvement.	3) Describe	how quality ind	dicators are developed,
identifying and understanding variation in surgical practice.						
Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.						
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating 1. Subject content of the presentations		Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
i. Subject content of the presentations		1 001	Tall	0000	Very Good	LXCellerit
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives as listed?	Not at a	ıll	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted time?	Not at a	ıll	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?	Not at a	ıll	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations Yes No	· ·					
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD session will cha	ange your pro	actice:				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this session OR su	agestions fo	r future	CMF/CPD tonics:			

SUB-TOTAL:	CPD hrs
------------	---------

Tuesday, June 19@ 10:15 - CPD Credit Hours = 1.50	Salon 206AB						
Papers: Pediatric Otolaryn	golo	gy					
Chair: Dr. Julie Strychowsky	, Lon	don, O	N				
Learning Objectives: See individual present	ation al	stracts	for learning obje	ectives online at: <u>http:</u>	//otohns.ca/	<u>/schedule/</u>	
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.							
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rati	ing						
1. Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a	s listed	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	d time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	pic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:				
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD set	ssion w	ill chang	ge your practice:				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this se	ession (OR sugg	gestions for futur	e CME/CPD topics:			
Tuesday, June 19@ 13:00 -	- 13:	45			Sale	on 206AI	3
CPD Credit Hours = 0.75							
WS #22							
Implicating New Stakeholders: Patient Outre		d Invo	lvement in CRS	S – S. Nayan, Cambri	dge, ON, C.	Hopkins, Lond	don, UK,
M. Desrosiers, Montreal, QC, S. Kilty, Ottawa, Learning Objectives: It is expected that at the		f the pr	esentation phys	icians will: 1) Be awa	re of the im	portant roles a	ll stakeholders share in
the disease-management process. 2) Be aware	e of exis	sting ini	tiatives. 3) Unde	erstand the developm	ent process	for patient out	reach activities, and in
the strategies for deploying them. Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.							
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating							
Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a	s listed	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotte	d time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	pic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significan	t Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:				
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD set	ssion w	ill chang	ge your practice:				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this se	ession (OR sugg	gestions for futur	e CME/CPD topics:			

Salon 206AB

SUB-TOTAL _____CPD hrs.

CPD Credit Hours = 0.50							
Papers: Laryngology							
Chair: Dr. Amanda Hu, Vancou	ver, B	2					
Learning Objectives: See individual presenta	ation ab	stracts	for learning obje	ctives online at: <u>http:</u> /	//otohns.ca/s	schedule/	
\square Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.							
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating							
Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a	s listed	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted	d time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the to	pic(s)?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:	1			1
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD ses	ssion wi	ill chang	je your practice:				
7.0		ND		OME/ODD to all a			
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this se	ession ()R sugg	estions for future	CME/CPD topics			
Tuesday, June 19@ 14:20 - CPD Credit Hours = 1.25	- 15:	23			Salon 2	206AB	
Papers: Rhinology							
Chair: Dr. John Lee, Toronto, Ol	N						
Learning Objectives: See individual presenta		stracts	for learning obied	ctives online at: http:/	//otohns.ca/s	schedule/	
☐ Attended, but do not wish to evaluate.							
Please CIRCLE the appropriate rating							
Subject content of the presentations			Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
2. Did the session meet the learning objectives a	s listed	?	Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
3. Was the program well-paced within the allotted	d time?		Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
4. Was the presenter(s) knowledgeable on the topic(s)?			Not at all	Limited Degree	Significant	Degree	Completely
5. Perceived commercial bias in presentations	Yes	No	Explain:				L
6. Identify at least one way in which this CPD ses	ssion wi	l ill chang	l je your practice:				
7. Give us suggestions on how to improve this se	seeinn ()R suna	estions for future	CMF/CPD tonics:			
1. One as suggestions on now to improve this se	.331011	zir augg	conons for future	omeror o topics.			

Tuesday, June 19@ 13:50 – 14:18

1	OTAL number (of your CPD noui	rs for the meetin	ıg:	_nrs.
neral commer	nts about the meeti	ng and recommenda	tions for future topic	S:	

Thank-you for your comments!