

Accredited Simulation Activities Application Form

Approval of Accredited Simulation Activities within

Section 3 of the Framework of Continuing Professional Development (CPD) Options of the Maintenance of Certification Program (MOC)

The standards contained within this sample application must be met and supporting documentation provided in order for a simulation activity to be approved under Section 3 of the MOC program. A Royal College accredited CPD provider will determine if your simulation activity or program meets these standards. Ask the accredited CPD provider if they require the completion of a specific simulation application form and if co-development of this simulation activity is a requirement for your organization. Please keep a copy of the completed application form for your records, and do not send this form to the Royal College.

1.	Simulation activity or program title:
2.	Name of developing organization:
	nulation activities or programs approved under Section 3 must be developed or co-developed by ninimum of 2 (two) members of a physician organization. (see definition below)
3.	Please select the option that applies to your organization.
	Option 1: The simulation program was developed independently by members of a physician organization.
	Option 2: The simulation program was prospectively developed in collaboration with another physician or non-physician organization. We accept responsibility for the entire program.

Physician Organization: A not-for-profit group of health professionals with a formal governance structure, accountable to and serving, among others, its specialist physician members through:

- Continuing professional development
- Provision of health care; and/or
- Research

This definition includes (but is not limited to) the following groups:

- Faculties of medicine
- Hospital departments or divisions
- Medical (specialty) societies
- Medical associations
- Medical academies
- · Physician research organizations
- Health authorities not linked to government agencies
- Canadian provincial medical regulatory authorities (MRAs)

Types of organizations that 'Are Not' considered Physician Organizations

- Disease-oriented patient advocacy organizations (e.g. Canadian Diabetes Association)
- Government departments or agencies (e.g. Health Canada, Public health Agency of Canada)
- Industry (e.g. pharmaceutical companies, medical device companies, etc.)
- Medical education or communications (MEC) companies (e.g. CME Inc.)
- For-profit online educators, publishing companies or simulation companies (e.g. Medscape, CAE)
- Small number of physicians working together to develop educational programming



Please list below all of the organizations developing or co-developing this simulation program.

i ica	se hist below all of the organizations dev	eveloping of co developing this simulation program.		
Physician Organization(s):		Non-Physician Organization(s):		
4. Date the simulation program was completed:				
		DD MM YY		
4.	Has the simulation program been previo	iously accredited? Yes No		
5. If the answer to question five above was yes, when was the program content and format reviewed? (Contents of Simulation programs must be reviewed every three years)				
		DD MM YY		
6.	The maximum number of hours required	ed to complete the simulation program is hours		
Date	e of the application:			
Chai	r of the Development Committee			
	r of the Development Committee			
Fax	Number:			
Pho	ne Number:	<u> </u>		
E-m	ail address:			
targ	et audience within a specific subjec			
char know	nges to the scientific evidence base, esta	assessment of need including but not limited to tablished variation in the management or application of of healthcare professionals, variation in the quality of by patients.		
Plea	se provide an explanation and/or suppo	orting documentation for each of the following:		
	 Describe the identified target audier is intended for other health profession 	ence for this simulation. Please indicate if this program sionals.		
 List all members of the simulation development committee, including their medical specialty or health profession. 		development committee, including their medical		
	Name	Specialty/health profession		



Please provide an explanation and/or supporting documentation where required for each of the following:

- 3. How was the need for the development of this Simulation program established?
- 4. Learning objectives that address identified needs must be communicated to the participants of the program. The learning objectives must express what the participants will be able to know or achieve by completing the program. Please list the learning objectives established for this Simulation program.

Criteria 2: Simulation programs must describe the methods that enable participants to demonstrate or apply knowledge, skills, clinical judgment or attitudes.

Simulation programs provide participants with a strategy to assess their knowledge, skills, clinical judgment and attitudes in comparison to established evidence (scientific or tacit). All simulation programs must enable participants to demonstrate their abilities across the key areas of the scenario(s), topic(s) or problem (s). Participants must complete all required activities or components of the program.

- 1. Please describe the key knowledge areas skills, or competencies assessed by this simulation program.
- 2. Please explain the scientific evidence base (clinical practice guideline, meta-analysis or systematic review) selected to develop the simulation program.
- 3. Please describe the process by which participants will be able to review their current knowledge or skills in relation to current scientific evidence.
- 4. How is participation within each component of the simulation program organized?

Criteria 3: The simulation program must provide detailed feedback to participants on their performance to enable the identification of any areas requiring improvement through the development of a future learning plan.

Providing specific feedback on the performance of the individual or team in achieving the learning objectives and demonstrating the competencies embedded within the simulation scenario(s) enables specialists to identify areas for improvement and the creation of a future learning plan. The feedback provided for participants can be completed at the end of the scenario or at a later time. The provision of tools to structure the reflection on performance and time for personal reflection is encouraged.



On-Line Simulation

	1.	Please describe the process by v simulation scenarios. For examp other web based assessment too tool.	le through the creation of an c	n-line re	esponse	sheet o	
	2.	Please describe how participants	s will receive feedback after co	mpletior	of the	scenario)
	3.	Does the on-line simulation provanswer? Yes	vide participants with reference	es justify	ving the	appropr	iate
	If y	yes, please describe how the refer	rences are provided to particip	ants.			
All	live	activities:					
	4.	How do participants receive feed	lback on their performance?				
Pro		Do you include a reflective tool to document: a) Knowledge or skills that are b) Any deficiencies or opportunduring the simulation c) What learning strategies will d) An action plan or commitme	up-to-date or consistent with ities for improvement in their be pursued to address the dent to change to address any a	current perform	evidence ance ide	e entified	
	6.	Does the program provide partic	cipants with an evaluation form	n that as	sesses:		
	•	Whether the stated learning objective Relevance of the simulation to the International The appropriateness or relevance Ability to identify CanMEDS computed Identification of bias? Are participants provided the opinstruction time, sufficient practions.	he participant's practice? e of the scenario? petencies or roles portunity to evaluate program	Yes Yes Yes Yes		No No No No	
			·	Yes		No	
	•	Do instructors provide each part	icipant with individual feedbac	k on the Yes	eir perfoi	rmance? No	
	•	9	Competencies Skills Attitudes	Yes Yes Yes		No No No	



Provide a sample of instructor evaluation tool or describe process (i.e. videotaped assessment)

Please provide a copy of the evaluation form(s).

ricasc	provide a copy or the evalue	acion form(s).		
7.	Does the program direct pa	articipants to doc	cument their learning in MAINPORT?	
	a 4: The content of Simul		s must be developed independent of th of interest.	е
activiti Physici	es included within the Maint	enance of Certifications. For example: 7	ethical standards established for all learning cation program of the Royal College of The developing organization must ensure th	
	f the following ethical standa Section 3.	ards must be me	t for a simulation program to be approved	
1.	The scenario development scenario, or topic and auth		n complete control over the selection of the develop this simulation.)
We cor	nply with this standard:	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	
2.	No representative from ind influenced the simulation p		d on the scenario development committee rectly or indirectly	e or
We cor	nply with this standard:	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	
3.		ercial organizatio	d authors disclosed to participants all financ n(s) regardless of their connection to the to	
We cor	nply with this standard:	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	
	form of an educational gra	nt. Funding mus	ment of this simulation were provided in the temperature to the physician organization are funds, including the payment of honorarian No	and
	provide a copy of the budge pment of this simulation pro		each source of revenue and expenditure for	the
5.	No drug or product adverti materials.	sements appear	on any of the simulation program's written	
We cor	nply with this standard:	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	
Please	provide a web link to the pr	ogram and any a	dvertisements providing advance notification	on.
	identify all organizations the program.	at are providing f	unding or services in-kind for the developn	nent



Checklist: Supporting Documentation to be included with this application form:

Copy of needs assessment	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
Learning objectives	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
Evaluation forms	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
Copy of the budget	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
Copy of the promotional materials	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
Reflection tool	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
Copy of feedback strategies of participants	Yes 🗌	No 🗌

Declaration:

As Chair of the Simulation Development Committee, I accept responsibility for the accuracy of the information provided in response to the questions listed on this application, and to the best of my knowledge, I certify that the CMA's policy, entitled, 'Guidelines for Physicians in Interactions with Industry' have been met in preparing this program. If this event is held in Québec, we are aware that it is mandatory to adhere to the Conseil de l'ÉMC du Québec's Code of Ethics entitled, Code of Ethics for parties involved in CME.

Signature (physician's name)	
	(Must be a Fellow of the Royal College)

<u>Accredited CPD Provider:</u> When the final decision regarding approval/non-approval is made, please complete a Simulation Program Notification form and submit to our office for inclusion in MAINPORT and for listing on our website. Approved simulation programs may be active for a maximum of three years.

Section 3 approval will be recognized by the following statement on event materials:

This is an Accredited Simulation Program (Section 3) as defined by the Maintenance of Certification Program of The Royal College of Physicians & Surgeons of Canada, and approved by [Accredited Providers' Name] on dd/mm/yy' Program expires mm/yy. Remember to visit MAINPORT to record your learning and outcomes. You may claim a maximum of # hours (credits are automatically calculated).



This section to be completed by the accredited provider		
This application is		
a) Approved		
b) Not approved		
Rationale:		
c) Requires revisions prior to approval		
Describe the specific areas that require revision:		